The Dublin Core Metadata Element Set

Abstract: Defines fifteen metadata elements for resource discovery in a multidisciplinary information environment.

Status: For Ballot July 1, 2000 - August 15, 2000

A Draft American National Standard Developed by the National Information Standards Organization

Approved _____, 200_ by the American National Standards Institute

Published by the National Information Standards Organization Bethesda, Maryland



NISO Press, Bethesda, Maryland, U.S.A.

Published by NISO Press 4733 Bethesda Avenue, Suite 300 Bethesda, MD 20814 www.niso.org

Copyright ©2000 by the National Information Standards Organization All rights reserved under International and Pan-American Copyright Conventions. No part of this book may be reproduced or transmitted in any form or by any means, electronic or mechanical, including photocopy, recording, or any information storage or retrieval system, without prior permission in writing from the publisher. In figurities should be addressed to NISO Press, 4733 Bethesda Avenue, Suite 300, Betherda, 20814.

Printed in the United States of America ISSN: 1041-5653 National Informatio ISBN: <u>1880124 47 5</u>

🕺 This paper meets the requirements of ANSI/NISO Z39.48-1992 (R 1997) Permanence of Paper.

Library of Congress Cataloging-in-Publication Data

National Information Standards Organization (U.S.) Syntax for the digital object identifier : an American national standard developed by the National Information Standards Organization.
p. cm. — (National information standards series, ISSN 1041-5653)
ISBN 1-880124-47-5 (alk. paper)
1. Digital Object Identifiers. 2. Digital Object Identifiers United States. I. Title. II.
Series.
Z286.E43 N38 2000a

Z286.E43 N38 2000 025.3'44—dc21

00-031048

Contents

Foreword	V
1. Scope and Purpose	1
2. Referenced Standards	1
3. Definitions	2
4. The Element Set	2
5. The Elements	2
Element Name: Title	2
Element Name: Creator	3
Element Name: Subject	3
Element Name: Description	3
Element Name: Publisher	3
Element Name: Contributor	3
Element Name: Date	4
Element Name: Type	4
Element Name: Format	4
Element Name: Identifier	4
Element Name: Source	4
Element Name: Language	5
Element Name: Relation	5
Element Name: Coverage	5
Element Name: Rights	5

Appendix A: Further Reading

6

Appendix B: Maintenance Agency

6

Foreword

(This foreowrd is not part of the American National Standard Dublin Core Metadata Element Set, ANSI/NISO Z39.85-200x. It is included for information only.)

The Dublin Core Metadata Initiative (DCMI) began in 1995 with an invitational workshop in Dublin, Ohio that brought together librarians, digital library researchers, content providers, and text-markup experts to improve discovery standards for information resources. The original Dublin Core emerged as a small set of descriptors that quickly drew global interest from a wide variety of information providers in the arts, sciences, education, business, and government sectors.

Since the original workshop there has been steadily growing interest in resource descriptions that are easy to create and that almost anyone can understand. The potential to increase visibility of resources in a collection across sectors and subject domains, and to do so at low cost, is broadly appealing. Services needing semantically rich descriptions would continue to provide them, but would attract cross-disciplinary discovery by also providing universally understandable descriptions common across disciplines. The digital tourist metaphor is apt. Internet travellers seeking information in foreign disciplines can use the Dublin Core Metadata Element Set's (DCMES) constrained vocabulary to obtain basic guidance in a language that they understand. Full accessibility to the culture and its services still requires mastery of the local vocabulary and environment, but a set of simple facts inscribed in DCMES can bring to the tourist's attention a foreign information portal that might otherwise have escaped notice.

That demand fueled steadily growing participation in a series of subsequent DCMI workshops. The Dublin Core Metadata Element Set described here is a set of fifteen descriptors that resulted from this effort in interdisciplinary and international consensus building. As of June 2000 the DCMES exists in over twenty translations, has been adopted by CEN/ISSS, and is documented in two internet RFCs. It also has official standing within the WWW Consortium and the ANSI/NISO Z39.50 standard. Dublin Core metadata is endorsed formally by governments in three countries for promoting discovery of government information in electronic form, and DCMES is under consideration as a national information standard in at least five others.

The DCMES is not intended to displace any other metadata standard. Rather it is intended to co-exist — often in the same resource description — with metadata standards that offer other semantics. It is fully expected that descriptive records will contain a mix of elements drawn from various metadata standards, both simple and complex. Examples of this kind of mixing and of HTML encoding of DCMES in general are given in RFC 2731 [RFC2731]. The simplicity of DCMES can be both a strength and a weakness. Simplicity lowers the cost of creating metadata and promotes interoperability. On the other hand, simplicity does not accommodate the semantic and functional richness supported by complex metadata schemes. In effect, the DCMES trades richness for

(continued)

wide visibility. The design of DCMES mitigates this loss by encouraging the use of richer metadata schemes in combination with DCMES. Richer schemes can also be mapped to DCMES for export or for cross-system searching. Conversely, simple DCMES records can be used as a starting point for the creation of more complex descriptions.

This standard was processed and approved for submittal to ANSI by the National Information Standards Organization. It was balloted by the NISO Voting Members July 1, 2000 - August 15, 2000. It will next be reviewed in 2005. Suggestions for improving this standard are welcome. They should be sent to the National Information Standards Organization, 4733 Bethesda Avenue, Suite 300, Bethesda, MD 20814. NISO approval of this standard does not imply that all Voting Members voted for its approval. At the time it approved this standard, NISO had the following Voting Members:

NISO Voting Members

3M Jerry Karel Carolyn Egeberg (Alt)

Academic Press Anthony Ross Bradford Terry(Alt)

ALSi Martin Sach Tony O'Brien (Alt)

American Association of Law Libraries Robert L. Oakley Mary Alice Baish (Alt)

American Chemical Society Robert S. Tannehill, Jr.

American Library Association Paul J. Weiss

American Society for Information Science Mark H. Needleman

American Society of Indexers Charlotte Skuster Marie Kascus (Alt)

American Theological Library Association Myron Chace

ARMA International Diane Carlisle

Art Libraries Society of North America David L. Austin

Association for Information and Image Management Betsy A. Fanning

Association of Information and Dissemination Centers Bruce H. Kiesel Association of Jewish Libraries Pearl Berger Elizabeth Vernon (Alt)

Association of Research Libraries Duane E. Webster Julia Blixrud (Alt)

Baker & Taylor Robert H. Doran

Book Industry Communication Brian Green

Broadcast Music Inc. Edward Oshanani Robert Barone (Alt)

Cambridge Scientific Abstracts Matthew Dunie Anthea Gotto (Alt)

CARL Corporation Ward Shaw

College Center for Library Automation J. Richard Madaus Ann Armbrister (Alt)

Committee on Institutional Cooperation Thomas Peters

Congressional Information Service, Inc. Robert Lester

Data Research Associates, Inc. Michael J. Mellinger Mark H. Needleman (Alt)

EBSCO Information Services Melanie Watts

Elsevier Science Inc. John Mancia

Endeavor Information Systems, Inc. Verne Coppi Cindy Miller (Alt)

(continued)

NISO Voting Members (continued)

epixtech, inc. John Bodfish Ricc Ferrante (Alt)

Ex Libris James Steenbergen Carl Grant (Alt)

Follett Corp. D. Jeffrey Blumenthal Don Rose (Alt)

Fretwell-Downing Informatics Robin Murray

Gale Group Katherine Gruber Justine Carson (Alt)

Gaylord Information Systems James English William Schickling (Alt)

GCA Research Institute Jane Harnad

Geac Computers

Indiana Cooperative Library Services Authority Millard Johnson Dea Szatkowski (Alt)

Innovative Interfaces, Inc. Gerald M. Kline Sandy Westall (Alt)

Institute for Scientific Information Helen Atkins Richard Newman (Alt)

The International DOI Foundation Norman Paskin

Kluwer Academic Publishers Mike Casey

Library Binding Institute J. Wesley Moyer

The Library Corporation Mark Wilson Nancy Capps (Alt)

Library of Congress Winston Tabb Sally H. McCallum (Alt)

Los Alamos National Laboratory Richard E. Luce

Lucent Technologies M.E. Brennan

Medical Library Association Nadine P. Ellero Carla J. Funk (Alt)

MINITEX Cecelia Boone William DeJohn (Alt) Motion Picture Association of America William M. Baker Axel aus der Muhlen (Alt)

Music Library Association Lenore Coral Geraldine Ostrove (Alt)

National Agricultural Library Pamela Q. J. Andre Gary K. McCone (Alt)

National Archives and Records Administration Alan Calmes

National Federation of Abstracting and Information Services Marion Harrell

National Library of Medicine Betsy L. Humphreys

OASIS Laura Walker

OCLC, Inc. Donald J. Muccino

Openly Informatics, **Inc**. Albert Simmonds Eric Hellman (Alt)

R.R. Bowker Albert Simmonds

Recording Industry Association of America Linda R. Bocchi Michael Williams (Alt)

Research Libraries Group, Inc. Kathleen Bales Wayne Davison (Alt)

RoweCom, Inc. Robert Boissy Marilyn Geller (Alt)

SilverPlatter Information, Inc. Marwan Sabbouh

SIRS, Inc. Leonardo Lazo Harry Kaplanian (Alt)

Society for Technical Communication Annette Reilly Kevin Burns (Alt)

Society of American Archivists Lisa Weber

Special Libraries Association Marjorie Hlava

SUNY/OCLC Network Mary-Alice Lynch Jane Neale (Alt)

Triangle Research Libraries Network Jordan M. Scepanski Mona C. Couts (Alt)

(continued)

DRAFT STANDARD SUBJECT TO CHANGE

Page vii

NISO Voting Members (continued)

U.S. Department of Commerce, National Institute of Standards and Technology, Office of Information Services

U.S. Department of Defense, Defense Technical Information Center Gretchen A. Schlag Gopalakrishnan Nair (Alt) U.S. National Commission on Libraries and Information Science Denise Davis

VTLS, Inc. Vinod Chachra

The H. W. Wilson Company George I. Lewicky Ann Case (Alt)

NISO Board of Directors

At the time NISO approved this standard, the following individuals served on its Board of Directors:

Donald J. Muccino, Chair OCLC Online Computer Library Center, Inc.

Beverly P. Lynch, Vice-Chair/Chair-elect University of California - Los Angeles

Joel H Baron, Immediate Past Chair Healthgate Date Corp

Jan Peterson, Treasurer Infotrieve

Patricia R. Harris, Executive Director National Information Standards Organization

Pieter S. H. Bolman Academic Press

Priscilla Caplan Florida Center for Library Automation

Carl Grant Ex Libris (USA), Inc. Brian Green Book Industry Communication

Richard E. Luce Los Alamos National Laboratory

Deanna B. Marcum Council on Library and Information Resources

Norman Paskin The International DOI Foundation

Steven Puglia U.S. National Archives and Records Administration

Jordan M. Scepanski Triangle Research Libraries Network

Albert Simmonds Openly Informatics, Inc.

NISO Committe AS

The following individuals served on Committee AS, Dublin Core Metadata Element Set:

John Kunze, Chair University of California/National Library of Medicine

Priscilla Caplan Florida Center for Library Automation

Rebecca Guenther Library of Congress Marjorie Hlava Access Innovations, Inc.

Clifford Morgan John Wiley & Sons Ltd.

John Perkins CIMI Consortium

The Dublin Core Metadata Element Set

1. Scope and Purpose

The Dublin Core Metadata Element Set (DCMES) is a standard for cross-domain information resource description. Here an information resource is defined to be anything that has identity, consistent with Internet RFC 2396. For DCMES applications a resource will typically be an electronic document.

This standard supersedes Internet RFC 2413, which was the first published version of DCMES.

2. Referenced Standards

[DCT1] DC Type Qualifiers. Dublin Core Draft Working Draft, 19 May 2000. http://lcweb.loc.gov/marc/dc/typequalif-20000519.html

[ISO3166] ISO 3166 - Codes for the representation of names of countries. http://www.din.de/gremien/nas/nabd/iso3166ma/

[ISO639] ISO 639-2 - Codes for the representation of names of languages, Alpha-3 code (ISO 639-2:1998). http://www.loc.gov/standards/iso639-2/langhome.html

[MIME] Internet Media Types. http://www.isi.edu/in-notes/iana/assignments/media-types/media-types

[RFC1766] Tags for the Identification of Languages, Internet RFC 1766. http://www.ietf.org/rfc/rfc1766.txt

[RFC2396] Uniform Resource Identifiers (URI): Generic Syntax, Internet RFC 2396. http://www.ietf.org/rfc/rfc2396.txt

[RFC2413] Dublin Core Metadata for Resource Discovery. Internet RFC 2413. http://www.ietf.org/rfc/rfc2413.txt

[RFC2731] Encoding Dublin Core Metadata in HTML. Internet RFC 2731. http://www.ietf.org/rfc/rfc2731.txt

[TGN] Getty Thesaurus of Geographic Names. http://shiva.pub.getty.edu/tgn_browser/

[W3CDTF] Date and Time Formats, W3C Note. http://www.w3.org/TR/NOTE-datetime

[XML] Extensible Markup Language. http://www.w3.org/TR/REC-xml

©NISO

3. Definitions

DCMES. Dublin Core Metadata Element Set, the 15 elements described in this standard.

DCMI. Dublin Core Metadata Initiative, the maintenance agency for DCMES.

Information resource. Anything that has identity (consistent with Internet RFC 2396).

Lifecycle of an information resource. A sequence of events that mark the development and use of an information resource. Some examples of events in a lifecycle are: Conception of an invention, Creation of a draft, Revision of an article, Publication of a book, Acquisition by a library, Transcription to magnetic disk, Migration to optical storage, Translation into English, and Derivation of a new work (e.g., a movie).

4. The Element Set

In the element descriptions below, each element has a descriptive label intended to convey a common semantic understanding of the element, as well as a unique, machine-understandable, single-word name intended to make the syntactic specification of elements simpler for encoding schemes.

Although some environments, such as HTML, are not case-sensitive, it is recommended best practice always to adhere to the case conventions in the element names given below to avoid conflicts in the event that the metadata is subsequently extracted or converted to a case-sensitive environment, such as XML (Extensible Markup Language) [XML].

Each element is optional and repeatable. Metadata elements may appear in any order. The ordering of multiple occurrences of the same element (e.g., Creator) may have a significance intended by the provider, but ordering is not guaranteed to be preserved in every system.

To promote global interoperability, a number of the element descriptions suggest a controlled vocabulary for the respective element values. It is assumed that other controlled vocabularies will be developed for interoperability within certain local domains.

5. The Elements

Element Name: Title

Label: Title

Definition: A name given to the resource.

Comment: Typically, a Title will be a name by which the resource is formally known.

Element Name: Creator

Label: Creator

Definition: An entity primarily responsible for making the content of the resource.

Comment: Examples of a Creator include a person, an organization, or a service. Typically, the name of a Creator should be used to indicate the entity.

Element Name: Subject

Label: Subject and Keywords

Definition: The topic of the content of the resource.

Comment: Typically, a Subject will be expressed as keywords, key phrases or classification codes that describe a topic of the resource. Recommended best practice is to select a value from a controlled vocabulary or formal classification scheme.

Element Name: Description

Label: Description

Definition: An account of the content of the resource.

Comment: Description may include but is not limited to: an abstract, table of contents, reference to a graphical representation of content or a free-text account of the content.

Element Name: Publisher

Label: Publisher

Definition: An entity responsible for making the resource available.

Comment: Examples of a Publisher include a person, an organization, or a service. Typically, the name of a Publisher should be used to indicate the entity.

Element Name: Contributor

Label: Contributor

Definition: An entity responsible for making contributions to the content of the resource.

Comment: Examples of a Contributor include a person, an organization, or a service. Typically, the name of a Contributor should be used to indicate the entity.

Element Name: Date

Label: Date

Definition: The date of an event in the lifecycle of the resource.

Comment: Typically, Date will be associated with the creation or availability of the resource. Recommended best practice for encoding the date value is defined in a profile of ISO 8601 [W3CDTF] and includes (among others) dates of the form YYYY-MM-DD.

Element Name: Type

Label: Resource Type

Definition: The nature or genre of the content of the resource.

Comment: Type includes terms describing general categories, functions, genres, or aggregation levels for content. Recommended best practice is to select a value from a controlled vocabulary (for example, the working draft list of Dublin Core Types [DCT1]). To describe the physical or digital manifestation of the resource, use the Format element.

Element Name: Format

Label: Format

Definition: The physical or digital manifestation of the resource.

Comment: Typically, Format may include the media-type or dimensions of the resource. Format may be used to determine the software, hardware or other equipment needed to display or operate the resource. Examples of dimensions include size and duration. Recommended best practice is to select a value from a controlled vocabulary (for example, the list of Internet Media Types [MIME] defining computer media formats).

Element Name: Identifier

Label: Resource Identifier

Definition: An unambiguous reference to the resource within a given context.

Comment: Recommended best practice is to identify the resource by means of a string or number conforming to a formal identification system. Example formal identification systems include the Uniform Resource Identifier (URI) (including the Uniform Resource Locator (URL)), the Digital Object Identifier (DOI) and the International Standard Book Number (ISBN).

Element Name: Source

Label: Source

Definition: A reference to a resource from which the present resource is derived.

Page 4

Comment: The present resource may be derived from the Source resource in whole or in part. Recommended best practice is to identify the referenced resource by means of a string or number conforming to a formal identification system.

Element Name: Language

Label: Language

Definition: A language of the intellectual content of the resource.

Comment: Recommended best practice is to select a value either from RFC 1766 [RFC1766] or from ISO 639 [ISO639], both of which define two- and three-letter primary language tags with optional subtags. Examples include "en" or "eng" for English, "akk" for Akkadian, and "en-GB" for English used in the United Kingdom.

Element Name: Relation

Label: Relation

Definition: A reference to a related resource.

Comment: Recommended best practice is to identify the referenced resource by means of a string or number conforming to a formal identification system.

Element Name: Coverage

Label: Coverage

Definition: The extent or scope of the content of the resource.

Comment: Coverage will typically include spatial location (a place name or geographic coordinates), temporal period (a period label, date, or date range) or jurisdiction (such as a named administrative entity). Recommended best practice is to select a value from a controlled vocabulary (for example, the Thesaurus of Geographic Names [TGN]) and that, where appropriate, named places or time periods be used in preference to numeric identifiers such as sets of coordinates or date ranges.

Element Name: Rights

Label: Rights Management

Definition: Information about rights held in and over the resource.

Comment: Typically, a Rights value will contain a rights management statement for the resource, or reference a service providing such information. Rights information often encompasses Intellectual Property Rights (IPR), Copyright, and various Property Rights. If the Rights element is absent, no assumptions may be made about any rights held in or over the resource.

Appendix A:

Further Reading

(This Appendix is not part of the American National Standard Dublin Core Metadata Element Set, ANSI/NISO Z39.85-200x. It is included for information only.)

Further information about the Dublin Core Metadata Element Set is available at the URL, http://purl.org/DC/

This web site contains information about workshops, reports, working group papers, projects, and new developments concerning the Dublin Core Metadata Initiative (DCMI).

Appendix B:

Maintenance Agency

(This Appendix is not part of the American National Standard Dublin Core Metadata Element Set, ANSI/NISO Z39.85-200x. It is included for information only.)

The Dublin Core Metadata Initiative (DCMI) is responsible for the development, standardization and promotion of the Dublin Core Metadata Element Set. Information on DCMI is available at the URL, http://purl.org/DC/ ANSI/NISO Z39.85-200X