

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

Liberty Architecture Glossary

Version 1.0

11 July 2002

Document Description: liberty-tech-glossary-v1.0

1 **Notice**

2
3 Copyright © 2002 ActivCard; American Express Travel Related Services; America Online, Inc.; Bank of
4 America; Bell Canada; Catavault; Cingular Wireless; Cisco Systems, Inc.; Citigroup; Cyberun
5 Corporation; Deloitte & Touche LLP; EarthLink, Inc.; Electronic Data Systems, Inc.; Entrust, Inc.;
6 Ericsson; Fidelity Investments; France Telecom; Gemplus; General Motors; Hewlett-Packard Company;
7 i2 Technologies, Inc.; Intuit Inc.; MasterCard International; Nextel Communications; Nippon Telegraph
8 and Telephone Company; Nokia Corporation; Novell, Inc.; NTT DoCoMo, Inc.; OneName Corporation;
9 Openwave Systems Inc.; PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP; Register.com; RSA Security Inc; Sabre Holdings
10 Corporation; SAP AG; SchlumbergerSema; Sony Corporation; Sun Microsystems, Inc.; United Airlines;
11 VeriSign, Inc.; Visa International; Vodafone Group Plc; Wave Systems. All rights reserved.

12
13 This Specification has been prepared by Sponsors of the Liberty Alliance. Permission is hereby granted to
14 use the Specification solely for the purpose of implementing the Specification. No rights are granted to
15 prepare derivative works of this Specification. Entities seeking permission to reproduce portions of this
16 document for other uses must contact the Liberty Alliance to determine whether an appropriate license for
17 such use is available.

18
19 Implementation of this Specification may involve the use of one or more of the following United States
20 Patents claimed by AOL Time Warner, Inc.: No.5,774,670, No.6,134,592, No.5,826,242, No. 5,825,890,
21 and No.5,671,279. The Sponsors of the Specification take no position concerning the evidence, validity
22 or scope of the claimed subject matter of the aforementioned patents. Implementation of certain elements
23 of this Specification may also require licenses under third party intellectual property rights other than
24 those identified above, including without limitation, patent rights. The Sponsors of the Specification are
25 not and shall not be held responsible in any manner for identifying or failing to identify any or all such
26 intellectual property rights that may be involved in the implementation of the Specification.

27
28 **This Specification is provided "AS IS", and no participant in the Liberty Alliance makes any**
29 **warranty of any kind, express or implied, including any implied warranties of merchantability,**
30 **non-infringement or third party intellectual property rights, and fitness for a particular purpose.**

31
32 Liberty Alliance Project
33 Licensing Administrator
34 c/o IEEE-ISTO
35 445 Hoes Lane, P.O. Box 1331
36 Piscataway, NJ 08855-1331, USA

1 **Editor**

2 Hank Mauldin, Cisco Systems, Inc.

3 **Contributors**

4
5 The following Liberty Alliance Project Sponsor companies contributed to the development of this
6 specification:
7

- | | |
|--|--|
| ActivCard | MasterCard International |
| American Express Travel Related Services | Nextel Communications |
| America Online, Inc. | Nippon Telegraph and Telephone Company |
| Bank of America | Nokia Corporation |
| Bell Canada | Novell, Inc. |
| Catavault | NTT DoCoMo, Inc. |
| Cingular Wireless | OneName Corporation |
| Cisco Systems, Inc. | Openwave Systems Inc. |
| Citigroup | PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP |
| Cyberun Corporation | Register.com |
| Deloitte & Touche LLP | RSA Security Inc |
| EarthLink, Inc. | Sabre Holdings Corporation |
| Electronic Data Systems, Inc. | SAP AG |
| Entrust, Inc. | SchlumbergerSema |
| Ericsson | Sony Corporation |
| Fidelity Investments | Sun Microsystems, Inc. |
| France Telecom | United Airlines |
| Gemplus | VeriSign, Inc. |
| General Motors | Visa International |
| Hewlett-Packard Company | Vodafone Group Plc |
| i2 Technologies, Inc. | Wave Systems |
| Intuit Inc. | |

8

9

10

1 **Table of Contents**

2
3
4
5
6
7

1	Introduction	5
2	Definitions	6
3	References and Recommended Reading	13

1 Introduction

This document is intended to provide a reference of terms, which ensures that when discussing identity solutions for the Internet and, in particular, the solution defined by the Liberty Alliance, a common understanding of their meaning exists.

This document is not intended to be a complete and authoritative compendium of all terms used when discussing network identity, but rather a comprehensive list of definitions for concepts used in the whole Liberty scope. Many terms that are commonly used within this context, but which retain their everyday meaning, are not listed. Furthermore, many terms that are relevant to Liberty typically have a security and/or privacy focus. Therefore, [RFC2828] has been adopted as a foundation to this document so that terms that are not defined here and are described as RECOMMENDED definitions in [RFC2828] shall be considered normative. Note: Certain definitions from [RFC2828] have been included (with attribution) in this document so that the set of Liberty documents has a single glossary of terms that have been identified as needing description for the community.

Finally, this glossary is a living document and, therefore, is subject to constant revisions. Comments regarding content and format are welcome, and should be sent to the Liberty Technology Working Group (technology@projectliberty.org).

1 2 Definitions

2 account

3 A formal business agreement for providing regular dealings and services between a Principal and
4 service providers.

5 account linkage

6 See identity federation.

7 artifact, SAML

8 A small, random number designed to point to full SAML assertions. SAML artifacts are passed
9 between sites by the browser on URL query strings.

10 assertion

11 A piece of data produced by a SAML authority regarding an act of authentication performed on a
12 Principal, attribute information about the Principal, or authorization permissions applying to the
13 Principal with respect to a specified resource.

14 attribute

15 A distinct characteristic of a Principal. A Principal's attributes are said to describe it.

16 authenticated Principal

17 A Principal who has had his identity authenticated by an identity provider.

18 authentication assertion context (AAC)

19 In addition to the authentication assertion itself, the information that the service provider may require
20 before it makes an entitlements decision.

21 authentication (AuthN)

22 The process of verifying the ability of a communication party to "talk" in name of a Principal.

23 authentication session

24 The period of time starting after A has authenticated B and until A stops trusting B's identity assertion
25 and requires reauthentication. Also known just as "session," it is the state between a successful login
26 and a successful logout by the Principal.

27 authorization (AuthZ)

28 A right or a permission that is granted to a system entity to perform an action.

29 certificate management

30 The functions that a digital certificate issuer may perform during the life cycle of a certificate,
31 including the following:

- 32 • Acquire and verify data items to bind into the certificate.
- 33 • Encode and sign the certificate.
- 34 • Store the certificate in a directory or repository.
- 35 • Renew, rekey, and update the certificate.
- 36 • Revoke the certificate and issue a CRL. [[RFC2828](#)]

1 **certificate policy (CP)**

2 A named set of rules indicating the applicability of a certificate to a particular community and/or class
3 of application. For example, a certificate policy might indicate that a particular type of certificate is
4 appropriate for the authentication of participants in a business-to-business transaction within a given
5 price range. The fundamental difference between the certificate practice statement and the certificate
6 policy is that the former is “owned” by the issuing certification authority and the latter by the entities
7 that will use the issued certificates. Certificate users define certificate policies, and certification
8 authorities (with different certificate practice statements) attest that a particular certificate is
9 appropriate for that certificate policy.

10 **certificate practice statement (CPS)**

11 A statement of the practices that a certification authority employs in issuing certificates. A certificate
12 practice statement may take the form of a declaration by the certification authority of the details of its
13 trustworthy systems and the practices it employs in support of its issuance of certificates.

14 **certificate revocation list (CRL)**

15 A data structure that enumerates digital certificates that have been invalidated by their issuer prior to
16 when they were scheduled to expire [[RFC2828](#)].

17 **circle of trust**

18 A federation of service providers and identity providers that have business relationships based on
19 Liberty architecture and operational agreements and with whom users can transact business in a secure
20 and apparently seamless environment.

21 **cookie**

22 A collection of information, usually including a username and the current date and time, stored on the
23 local computer of a person using the Web and used chiefly by Websites to identify users who have
24 previously registered or visited the site.

25 **credentials**

26 Known data attesting to the truth of certain stated facts.

27 **data**

28 Any information that a Principal provides to an identity provider or a service provider.

29 **defederate identity**

30 To eliminate linkage between Principal’s accounts at an identity provider and a service provider, such
31 that the identity provider no longer provides user identity to the service provider, and the service
32 provider will no longer accept user identity from the identity provider.

33 **digital certificate**

34 A digitally signed assertion. The same Principal that issued the underlying assertion must sign the
35 certificate.

36 **digital signature**

37 A data structure that strongly depends on a private key and the contents of the message being signed.
38 Digital signatures should be uniquely verified with the corresponding public key. Note: Digital
39 signatures are not equivalent to hand-written signatures in most respects. Note: In an international

1 legislation context, the definition of digital signature differs broadly. See also public-key
2 cryptography.

3 **DNS (Domain Name System)**

4 A general-purpose distributed, replicated, data query service chiefly used on the Internet for
5 translating hostnames into Internet addresses.

6 **ECML (Electronic Commerce Modeling Language)**

7 A set of hierarchical payment-oriented data structures that will enable automated software, including
8 electronic wallets, from multiple vendors to supply needed data in a more uniform manner.

9 **entity-provided data**

10 Any data directly provided by an entity to a member of a Liberty circle of trust.

11 **federate**

12 To link or bind two or more entities together.

13 **federated architecture (authentication)**

14 An architecture that supports multiple entities provisioning Principals among peers within the
15 Liberty circle of trust.

16 **federation**

17 An association comprising any number of service providers and identity providers.

18 **HTTP (Hypertext Transport Protocol)**

19 An application-level protocol for distributed, collaborative, hypermedia information systems
20 [\[RFC2616\]](#).

21 **identity**

22 The essence of an entity and often described by its characteristics.

23 **Identity federation**

24 Associating, connecting, or binding multiple accounts for a given Principal at various Liberty Alliance
25 entities within a circle of trust.

26 **identity provider (IdP)**

27 A Liberty-enabled entity that creates, maintains, and manages identity information for Principals and
28 provides Principal authentication to other service providers within a circle of trust.

29 **IPsec (Internet Protocol Security)**

30 A framework of open standards for ensuring confidentiality, integrity, and authenticity of data
31 communications across a public network.

32 **Kerberos**

33 A trusted third-party authentication protocol. [\[RFC1510\]](#).

34 **Liberty Alliance guidelines**

35 Policies defined by the Liberty Alliance and recommended to be followed for maximizing the
36 implementation of Liberty specifications.

1 **Liberty Alliance principles**

2 The commitments that an identity provider or service provider must contractually agree to (if any) to
3 be Liberty-compliant.

4 **Liberty architecture**

5 An architecture that supports the technical programs and specifications to provide a single sign-on
6 with federated identities.

7 **Liberty-enabled client or proxy (LECP)**

8 A Liberty-enabled client is a client that has, or knows how to obtain, knowledge about the identity
9 provider that the Principal wishes to use with the service provider. A Liberty-enabled proxy is an
10 HTTP proxy (typically a WAP gateway) that emulates a Liberty-enabled client.

11 **login**

12 The act of a Principal gaining access to a session in which the Principal can use system resources
13 [[RFC2828](#)].

14 **logout**

15 The termination of a session.

16 **metadata**

17 Definitional data that provides information about or documentation of other data managed within an
18 application or environment.

19 **namespace**

20 A set of names in which all names are unique.

21 **network identity**

22 The abstraction of the global set of attributes composed from all of a Principal's existing accounts.

23 **nonce**

24 A nonce is a value used no more than once for the same purpose.. A nonce can be a time stamp, a visit
25 counter on a Web page, or a special marker intended to limit or prevent the unauthorized replay or
26 reproduction of a file.

27 **nonrepudiation**

28 The inability of a Principal to legally repudiate its involvement with an action or a piece of
29 information.

30 **opaque handle**

31 A string that has meaning only in the context between a specific identity provider and specific service
32 provider.

33 **password**

34 A secret data value, usually a character string, that is used as authentication information [[RFC2828](#)].

35 **personally identifiable information (PII)**

36 Any data that identifies or locates a particular person, consisting primarily of name, address, telephone
37 number, e-mail address, bank accounts, or other unique identifiers such as Social Security numbers.

1 **PIN (personal identification number)**

2 See [[RFC2828](#)]. Essentially the same thing as a password. It typically is restricted in size and content
3 to a few characters and/or numbers.

4 **Principal**

5 A Principal is an entity that can acquire a federated identity, that is capable of making decisions, and
6 to which authenticated actions are done on its behalf. Examples of principals include an individual
7 user, a group of individuals, a corporation, other legal entities, or a component of the Liberty
8 architecture.

9 **privacy**

10 Proper handling of personal information throughout its life cycle, consistent with the preferences of
11 the subject.

12
13 **profile**

14 Data comprising the broad set of attributes that may be maintained for an identity, over and beyond its
15 identifiers and the data required to authenticate under that identity. At least some of those attributes
16 (for example, addresses, preferences, card numbers) are provided by the Principal.

17 **proxy**

18 An entity authorized to act for another.

19 **pseudonym**

20 An arbitrary name assigned by the identity or service provider to identify a Principal to a given relying
21 party so that the name has meaning only in the context of the relationship between the relying parties.

22 **public-key infrastructure (PKI)**

23 A system of certificate authorities (and, optionally, registration authorities and other supporting
24 servers and agents) that perform some set of certificate management, archive management, key
25 management, and token management functions for a community of Principals in an application of
26 asymmetric cryptography [[RFC2828](#)].

27 **public-key cryptography**

28 Set of cryptographic techniques that uses two keys: The first key is always kept secret by an entity;
29 and the second key, which is uniquely bound to the first one, is made public. Messages created with
30 the first key (the *private key*) can be uniquely verified with the second key (the *public key*) in a
31 “strong” way, where the strength of the verification is so high that the messages are called *digital*
32 *signatures*. Finally, messages created using the public key can be deciphered only with the
33 corresponding private key. See digital signature.

34 **repudiation**

35 The rejection or renunciation of a duty or obligation.

36 **RPC (Remote Procedure Call Protocol)**

37 A protocol that allows a program running on one host to cause code to be executed on another host
38 without the programmer needing to explicitly code for this action.

1 **SAML (Security Assertion Markup Language)**

2 An XML standard for exchanging authentication and authorization data between security systems. See
3 <http://www.oasis-open.org/committees/security/#documents>.

4 **service provider (SP)**

5 An entity that provides services and/or goods to Principals.

6 **single sign-on (SSO)**

7 The ability to use proof of an existing authentication session with identity provider A to create a new
8 authentication session with identity provider B.

9 **smartcards**

10 A tamper-resistant credit-card sized device containing one or more integrated circuit chips, which
11 perform the functions of a computer's central processor, memory, and input/output interface.

12 **SOAP (Simple Object Access Protocol)**

13 An XML envelope and data encoding technology used to communicate information and requests
14 across the Web. It is typically considered the protocol used by Web services. It is actually an envelope
15 encapsulation format that can be used with lower level Web protocols such as HTTP and FTP. See
16 [\[SOAP\]](#).

17 **SSL (Secure Sockets Layer Protocol)**

18 An Internet protocol (originally developed by Netscape Communications, Inc.) that uses connection-
19 oriented end-to-end encryption to provide data confidentiality service and data integrity service for
20 traffic between a client (often a Web browser) and a server and that can optionally provide peer entity
21 authentication between the client and the server. See Transport Layer Security. [\[RFC2828\]](#).

22 **TLS (Transport Layer Security Protocol)**

23 An evolution of the SSL protocol. The TLS protocol provides communications privacy over the
24 Internet. The protocol allows client/server applications to communicate in a way that is designed to
25 prevent eavesdropping, tampering, or message forgery. See [\[RFC2246\]](#).

26 **trust circle**

27 See circle of trust.

28 **URI (Uniform Resource Identifier)**

29 A compact string of characters for identifying an abstract or physical resource. [\[RFC2396\]](#) defines the
30 generic syntax of URI, including both absolute and relative forms, and guidelines for their use.

31 **URL (Uniform Resource Locator)**

32 The subset of URI. URLs identify resources via a representation of their primary access mechanism
33 (e.g., their network location) rather than identifying the resource by name or by some other attributes
34 of that resource. [\[RFC2396\]](#)

35 **URN (Uniform Resource Names)**

36 Names intended to serve as persistent, location-independent, resource identifiers and designed to make
37 it easy to map other namespaces (which share the properties of URNs) into URN-space. See
38 [\[RFC2141\]](#).

1 **user agent**

2 Any software that retrieves and renders Web content for users.

3 **user interface**

4 The controls (such as menus, buttons, prompts, etc.) and mechanisms (such as selection and focus)
5 provided by the user agent.

6 **VPN (Virtual Private Network)**

7 A network that can be run over the public Internet while still giving privacy and/or authentication to
8 each user of the network.

9 **WAP (Wireless Application Protocol)**

10 An open, international specification that empowers mobile users with wireless devices to easily access
11 and interact with information and services.

12 **Web service**

13 A service that uses Internet protocols to provide a service designed to be used by programs.

14 **WML (Wireless Markup Language)**

15 A markup language based on XML and intended for use in specifying content and user interface for
16 narrowband devices, including cellular phones and pagers.

17 **WSDL (Web Services Description Language)**

18 A popular technology for describing the interface of a Web service. See <http://www.w3.org/TR/wsdl/>.

19 **XML (eXtensible Markup Language)**

20 A W3C technology for encoding information and documents for exchange over the Web. See
21 <http://www.w3.org/XML/>.

22 **ZIC (Zero Install Client)**

23 A commonly used HTTP-based user agent having no Liberty-specific extensions. For example,
24 standard Web browsers are ZICs.

25

26

27

3 References and Recommended Reading

- [COMP97] I. Goldberg, D. Wagner, E. Brewer. Privacy-enhancing Technologies for the Internet. Proc. of IEEE Spring COMPCON, 1997.
- [RFC1510] J. Kohl, C Neuman. The Kerberos Network Authentication Service (V5). Request For Comments (RFC) 1510, Internet Engineering Task Force, September 1993.
- [RFC2141] R. Moats. URN Syntax. Request for Comments (RFC) 2141, Internet Engineering Task Force, May 1997.
- [RFC2246] T. Dierks, C. Allen. The TLS Protocol Version 1.0. Request for Comments (RFC) 2246, Internet Engineering Task Force, January 1999.
- [RFC2396] T. Berners-Lee, R. Fielding, L. Masinter. Uniform Resource Identifiers (URI): Generic Syntax. Request for Comments (RFC) 2396, Internet Engineering Task Force, August 1998.
- [RFC2616] R. Fielding, J. Gettys, J. Mogul, H. Frystyk, L. Masinter, P. Leach, T. Berners-Lee. Hypertext Transfer Protocol -- HTTP/1.1. Request For Comments (RFC) 2616, Internet Engineering Task Force, June 1999.
- [RFC2693] C. Ellison, B Frantz, B Lampson, R Rivest, B Thomas, T. Ylonen. SPKI Certificate Theory. Request for Comments (RFC) 2693, Internet Engineering Task Force, September 1999.
- [RFC2828] R. Shirey. Internet Security Glossary. Request for Comments (RFC) 2828, Internet Engineering Task Force, May 2000.
- [SAMLGloss] J. Hodges et al., *Glossary for the OASIS Security Assertion Markup Language (SAML)*, <http://www.oasis-open.org/committees/security/docs/draft-sstc-glossary-02.pdf>, OASIS, December 2001.
- [SOAP] W3C Note: SOAP 1.1: <http://www.w3.org/TR/SOAP/>, W3C: SOAP 1.2: <http://www.w3.org/TR/2001/WD-soap12-20010709/>, W3 Note: SOAP Messages with Attachments: <http://www.w3.org/TR/SOAP-attachments/>.