

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29

Liberty Architecture Implementation Guidelines

Version 1.0

11 July 2002

Document identifier: liberty-architecture-impl-guidelines-v1.0

30 **Notice**

31

32 Copyright © 2002 ActivCard; American Express Travel Related Services; America Online, Inc.; Bank of
33 America; Bell Canada; Catavault; Cingular Wireless; Cisco Systems, Inc.; Citigroup; Cyberun Corporation;
34 Deloitte & Touche LLP; EarthLink, Inc.; Electronic Data Systems, Inc.; Entrust, Inc.; Ericsson; Fidelity
35 Investments; France Telecom; Gemplus; General Motors; Hewlett-Packard Company; i2 Technologies, Inc.;
36 Intuit Inc.; MasterCard International; Nextel Communications; Nippon Telegraph and Telephone Company;
37 Nokia Corporation; Novell, Inc.; NTT DoCoMo, Inc.; OneName Corporation; Openwave Systems Inc.;
38 PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP; Register.com; RSA Security Inc; Sabre Holdings Corporation; SAP AG;
39 SchlumbergerSema; Sony Corporation; Sun Microsystems, Inc.; United Airlines; VeriSign, Inc.; Visa
40 International; Vodafone Group Plc; Wave Systems. All rights reserved.

41

42 This Specification has been prepared by Sponsors of the Liberty Alliance. Permission is hereby granted to use
43 the Specification solely for the purpose of implementing the Specification. No rights are granted to prepare
44 derivative works of this Specification. Entities seeking permission to reproduce portions of this document for
45 other uses must contact the Liberty Alliance to determine whether an appropriate license for such use is
46 available.

47

48 Implementation of this Specification may involve the use of one or more of the following United States
49 Patents claimed by AOL Time Warner, Inc.: No.5,774,670, No.6,134,592, No.5,826,242, No. 5,825,890, and
50 No.5,671,279. The Sponsors of the Specification take no position concerning the evidence, validity or scope
51 of the claimed subject matter of the aforementioned patents. Implementation of certain elements of this
52 Specification may also require licenses under third party intellectual property rights other than those identified
53 above, including without limitation, patent rights. The Sponsors of the Specification are not and shall not be
54 held responsible in any manner for identifying or failing to identify any or all such intellectual property rights
55 that may be involved in the implementation of the Specification.

56

57 **This Specification is provided "AS IS", and no participant in the Liberty Alliance makes any warranty**
58 **of any kind, express or implied, including any implied warranties of merchantability, non-infringement**
59 **or third party intellectual property rights, and fitness for a particular purpose.**

60

61 Liberty Alliance Project
62 Licensing Administrator
63 c/o IEEE-ISTO
64 445 Hoes Lane, P.O. Box 1331
65 Piscataway, NJ 08855-1331, USA

66

66 **Editors**

- 67 Lena Kannappan, France Telecom
68 Matthieu Lachance, Openwave Systems Inc.

69 **Contributors**

70
71 The following Liberty Alliance Project Sponsor companies contributed to the development of this
72 specification:

- 73
- | | |
|--|--|
| ActivCard | MasterCard International |
| American Express Travel Related Services | Nextel Communications |
| America Online, Inc. | Nippon Telegraph and Telephone Company |
| Bank of America | Nokia Corporation |
| Bell Canada | Novell, Inc. |
| Catavault | NTT DoCoMo, Inc. |
| Cingular Wireless | OneName Corporation |
| Cisco Systems, Inc. | Openwave Systems Inc. |
| Citigroup | PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP |
| Cyberun Corporation | Register.com |
| Deloitte & Touche LLP | RSA Security Inc |
| EarthLink, Inc. | Sabre Holdings Corporation |
| Electronic Data Systems, Inc. | SAP AG |
| Entrust, Inc. | SchlumbergerSema |
| Ericsson | Sony Corporation |
| Fidelity Investments | Sun Microsystems, Inc. |
| France Telecom | United Airlines |
| Gemplus | VeriSign, Inc. |
| General Motors | Visa International |
| Hewlett-Packard Company | Vodafone Group Plc |
| i2 Technologies, Inc. | Wave Systems |
| Intuit Inc. | |

74
75
76
77
78

78 **Table of Contents**

79 1 Introduction5

80 2 Recommended Liberty Architecture Implementation Guidelines5

81 2.1 Identity Provider Implementation Guidelines5

82 2.2 Service Provider Implementation Guidelines6

83 2.3 LECP Implementation Guidelines7

84 3 Liberty Architecture Specifications Checklist8

85 3.1 Liberty Profiles and Bindings Requirements — Identity Provider8

86 3.2 Liberty Profiles and Bindings Requirements — Service Provider9

87 3.3 Liberty Profiles and Bindings Requirements — LECP10

88 3.4 Authentication Context Requirements — Identity Provider10

89 3.5 Authentication Context Requirements — Service Provider10

90 3.6 Authentication Context Requirements — LECP11

91 4 References11

92

93

93 1 Introduction

94 This document defines the recommended implementation guidelines and checklists for the Liberty
95 architecture focused on deployments for the service-providing entities: service providers, identity
96 providers, and Liberty-enabled clients or proxies (LECPs). It is intended to provide recommended
97 implementation guidelines to Liberty component developers to help them decide what they need to
98 implement to meet their business needs. Because Liberty Version 1.0 does not provide formal
99 compliance, this document does not contain any conformance requirements — only
100 recommendations. A recommended profile tailored according to the high-level Liberty features is
101 provided for different Liberty service-providing entities. Implementers facing specific needs can
102 decide to implement what they need and claim support for each specific feature separately.

103 The document also provides a checklist of requirements based on the following Liberty architecture
104 specification categories that implementers can use to advertise their supported feature set:

- 105 • Functionality in the Liberty protocols and schemas described
- 106 • Bindings and profiles defined for each Liberty protocol type (specific interactions between
107 identity providers, service providers, and LECPs)
- 108 • The authentication request and reply context-specific information

109 Definitions for Liberty-specific terms can be found in [[LibertyGloss](#)]. Note: Phrases and numbers in
110 brackets [] refer to other documents; details of these references can be found in Section 4 (at the end
111 of this document).

112 2 Recommended Liberty Architecture Implementation Guidelines

113 The recommended implementation guidelines for identity providers, service providers, and LECPs
114 are listed in the tables in 2.1 through 2.3. The guidelines refer to front-channel-based and back-
115 channel-based mechanisms. *Front channel* is described as a communication channel where HTTP
116 redirect-, GET-, and POST-based request and response protocol messages between the identity
117 provider and the service provider flow through the Web browser. *Back channel* is a SOAP/HTTP-
118 based direct communication channel between the identity provider and the service provider. A
119 service provider with SOAP client support is considered to be a “back-channel-capable SP” whereas
120 a “basic SP” is not back-channel-capable.

121 2.1 Identity Provider Implementation Guidelines

122

| Liberty Feature | Recommendations |
|-----------------|--|
| Single Sign-On | <p>It is strongly recommended that identity providers support the LECP single sign-on profile to ensure forward compatibility. The LECP profile is intended for future clients of all kinds (thin and thick) as well as existing wireless thin clients (WML, HDML, etc) when used with a LEP.</p> <p>Identity providers that want to support existing HTML client environments should implement the browser artifact and the browser POST single sign-on profiles.</p> <p>To support existing WML client in environments that do not contain any LEP, identity providers should support the WML single sign-on profile.</p> |

| Liberty Feature | Recommendations |
|-------------------------------------|---|
| Identity Federation | Identity providers that want to support permanent identity linking between service providers and identity providers (beyond the stateless single sign-on association) should support the <DoFederate> element of the <AuthnRequest> for all the supported single sign-on profiles. |
| Federation Termination Notification | <p>Identity providers that support identity federation should also support the Federation Termination Notification Protocol. When supported, both service-provider-initiated and identity-provider-initiated federation termination notification should be supported.</p> <p>Liberty offers two federation termination notification mechanisms:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Front channel, or HTTP-redirect-based • Back channel, or SOAP-based <p>As a minimum, identity providers should support the front-channel-based mechanism. Identity providers that want to support back-channel-capable SPs should implement both mechanisms.</p> |
| Name Registration | The Name Registration Protocol allows the service provider to use its own opaque handle to identify the Principal when communicating with the identity provider (rather than using the identity provider's opaque handle), but requires back-channel-capable SPs. Identity providers that want to support back-channel-capable SPs should implement this feature. |
| Single Logout | <p>The Single Logout Protocol allows logging out a Principal from all its active sessions to service providers, linked to an identity provider. Identity providers keeping trace of the Principal's service provider sessions should implement this feature. When supported, both service-provider-initiated and identity-provider-initiated single logout should be supported.</p> <p>Liberty offers two single logout mechanisms:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Front channel, or HTTP-redirect-based • Back channel, or SOAP-based <p>As a minimum, identity providers supporting this feature should support the front-channel-based mechanism. Identity providers that want to support back-channel-capable SPs should implement both mechanisms.</p> |
| Identity Provider Introduction | Identity providers that want to support more than a single circle of trust simultaneously should support the Identity Provider Introduction Protocol. |

123

124 **2.2 Service Provider Implementation Guidelines**

125 In general service providers are divided in two categories: the back-channel-capable SPs and the
126 basic SPs (that are not back-channel-capable).

127

127

| Liberty Feature | Recommendations |
|-------------------------------------|--|
| Single Sign-On | <p>It is strongly recommended that service providers support the LECP single sign-on profile to ensure forward compatibility. The LECP profile is intended for future clients of all kinds (thin and thick) as well as existing wireless thin clients (WML, HDML, etc) when used with a LEP.</p> <p>Service providers that want to support existing HTML client environments should implement the browser artifact and the browser POST single sign-on profiles.</p> <p>To support existing WML client in environments that do not contain any LEP, service providers should support the WML single sign-on profile.</p> |
| Identity Federation | <p>Service providers that want to support permanent identity linking between service providers and identity providers (beyond the stateless single sign-on association) should support the <DoFederate> element of the <AuthnRequest> for all the supported single sign-on profiles.</p> |
| Federation Termination Notification | <p>Service providers that support identity federation should also support the Federation Termination Notification Protocol. When supported, both service-provider-initiated and identity-provider-initiated federation termination notification should be supported.</p> <p>Service providers should support either the front-channel or back-channel federation termination notification mechanisms depending on their respective capabilities although nothing prevents them from supporting both mechanisms if desired.</p> |
| Name Registration | <p>The Name Registration Protocol allows the service provider to use its own opaque handle to identify the Principal when communicating with the identity provider (rather than using the identity provider's opaque handle), but requires back-channel-capable SPs.</p> <p>Back-channel-capable SPs should implement this feature.</p> |
| Single Logout | <p>The Single Logout Protocol allows logging out a Principal from all its active sessions to service providers, linked to an identity provider. When supported, both service-provider-initiated and identity-provider-initiated single logout should be supported.</p> <p>Service providers should support either the front-channel or back-channel single logout mechanisms depending on their respective capabilities although nothing prevents them from supporting both mechanisms if desired.</p> |
| Identity Provider Introduction | <p>Service providers that want to support networks with more than a single circle of trust simultaneously should support the Identity Provider Introduction Protocol.</p> |

128

2.3 LECP Implementation Guidelines

129

130

| Liberty Feature | Recommendations |
|-----------------|--|
| Single Sign-On | Support for LECP single sign-on profile. |

131

132 **3 Liberty Architecture Specifications Checklist**

133 **3.1 Liberty Profiles and Bindings Requirements — Identity Provider**

134

| Req ID# | Description | Ref | Y/N |
|-----------|---|------------------------------------|-----|
| IDP-FED-1 | Identity Federation | Section 3.2.1 [LibertyBindProf] | |
| IDP-SSO-1 | Single Sign-On using Browser Artifact | Section 3.2.2 [LibertyBindProf] | |
| IDP-SSO-2 | Single Sign-On using Browser POST | Section 3.2.3 [LibertyBindProf] | |
| IDP-SSO-3 | Single Sign-On using WML POST | Section 3.2.4 [LibertyBindProf] | |
| IDP-SSO-4 | Single Sign-On using LECP | Section 3.2.5 [LibertyBindProf] | |
| IDP-REG-1 | Register Name Identifier | Section 3.3 [LibertyBindProf] | |
| IDP-FED-2 | Identity Federation Termination — Front Channel | Section 3.4 [LibertyBindProf] | |
| IDP-FED-3 | Identity Federation Termination — Back Channel | Section 3.4 [LibertyBindProf] | |
| IDP-FED-4 | Federation Termination Notification (Identity Provider Initiated) — Front Channel | Section 3.4.1 [LibertyBindProf] | |
| IDP-FED-5 | Federation Termination Notification (Identity Provider Initiated) — Back Channel | Section 3.4.1 [LibertyBindProf] | |
| IDP-FED-6 | Federation Termination Notification (Service Provider Initiated) — Front Channel | Section 3.4.2 [LibertyBindProf] | |
| IDP-FED-7 | Federation Termination Notification (Service Provider Initiated) — Back Channel | Section 3.4.2 [LibertyBindProf] | |
| IDP-SLO-1 | Single Logout | Section 3.5 [LibertyBindProf] | |
| IDP-SLO-2 | Single Logout Initiated by Identity Provider: Redirect | Section 3.5.1 [LibertyBindProf] | |
| IDP-SLO-3 | Single Logout Initiated by Identity Provider: SOAP | Section 3.5.1 [LibertyBindProf] | |
| IDP-SLO-4 | Single Logout Initiated by Service Provider: Redirect | Section 3.5.2 [LibertyBindProf] | |
| IDP-SLO-5 | Single Logout Initiated by Service Provider: SOAP | Section 3.5.2 [LibertyBindProf] | |
| IDP-INT-1 | Identity Provider Introduction | Section 3.6 [LibertyBindProf] | |
| IDP-COM-1 | HTTP Connection over SSL3.0 or TLS1.0 [RFC2246], WTLS | [SSLv3], [RFC2246], [WTLS] | |
| IDP-COM-2 | Support for Minimum URL length of 256 bytes | [RFC2965] | |
| IDP-COM-3 | Support for Session Cookies | [RFC2965] | |

135

136 **3.2 Liberty Profiles and Bindings Requirements — Service Provider**

137

| Req ID# | Description | Ref | Y/N |
|----------|---|------------------------------------|-----|
| SP-FED-1 | Identity Federation | Section 3.2.1 [LibertyBindProf] | |
| SP-SSO-1 | Single Sign-On using Browser Artifact | Section 3.2.2 [LibertyBindProf] | |
| SP-SSO-2 | Single Sign-On using Browser POST | Section 3.2.3 [LibertyBindProf] | |
| SP-SSO-3 | Single Sign-On using WML | Section 3.2.4 [LibertyBindProf] | |
| SP-SSO-4 | Single Sign-On using LECP | Section 3.2.5 [LibertyBindProf] | |
| SP-REG-1 | Register Name Identifier | Section 3.3 [LibertyBindProf] | |
| SP-FED-2 | Identity Federation Termination — Front Channel | Section 3.4 [LibertyBindProf] | |
| SP-FED-3 | Identity Federation Termination — Back Channel | Section 3.4 [LibertyBindProf] | |
| SP-FED-4 | Federation Termination Notification (Identity Provider Initiated) — Front Channel | Section 3.4.1 [LibertyBindProf] | |
| SP-FED-5 | Federation Termination Notification (Identity Provider Initiated) — Back Channel | Section 3.4.1 [LibertyBindProf] | |
| SP-FED-6 | Federation Termination Notification (Service Provider Initiated) — Front Channel | Section 3.4.2 [LibertyBindProf] | |
| SP-FED-7 | Federation Termination Notification (Service Provider Initiated) — Back Channel | Section 3.4.2 [LibertyBindProf] | |
| SP-SLO-1 | Single Logout | Section 3.5 [LibertyBindProf] | |
| SP-SLO-2 | Single Logout Initiated by Identity Provider: Redirect | Section 3.5.1 [LibertyBindProf] | |
| SP-SLO-3 | Single Logout Initiated by Identity Provider: SOAP | Section 3.5.1 [LibertyBindProf] | |
| SP-SLO-4 | Single Logout Initiated by Service Provider: Redirect | Section 3.5.2 [LibertyBindProf] | |
| SP-SLO-5 | Single Logout Initiated by Service Provider: SOAP | Section 3.5.2 [LibertyBindProf] | |
| SP-INT-1 | Identity Provider Introduction | Section 3.6 [LibertyBindProf] | |
| SP-COM-1 | HTTP Connection over SSL3.0 or TLS1.0 [RFC2246], WTLS | [SSLv3], [RFC2246], [WTLS] | |
| SP-COM-2 | Support for Minimum URL Length of 256 bytes | [RFC2965] | |
| SP-COM-3 | Support for Session Cookies | [RFC2965] | |

138

139 **3.3 Liberty Profiles and Bindings Requirements — LECP**

140

| Req ID# | Description | Ref | Y/N |
|------------|---|------------------------------------|-----|
| LECP-SSO-1 | Single Sign-On using LECP | Section 3.2.5 [LibertyBindProf] | |
| LECP-COM-1 | Support for Minimum URL Length of 256 bytes | [RFC2965] | |
| LECP-COM-2 | Support for Session Cookies | [RFC2965] | |

141

142 **3.4 Authentication Context Requirements — Identity Provider**

143

| Req ID# | Description | Ref | Y/N |
|--------------|-----------------------------|---|-----|
| IDP-AUTHN-01 | MobileContract | Section 5.1.1 [LibertyAuthnContext] | |
| IDP-AUTHN-02 | MobileDigitalID | Section 5.1.2 [LibertyAuthnContext] | |
| IDP-AUTHN-03 | MobileUnregistered | Section 5.1.3 [LibertyAuthnContext] | |
| IDP-AUTHN-04 | Password | Section 5.1.4 [LibertyAuthnContext] | |
| IDP-AUTHN-05 | Password-ProtectedTransport | Section 5.1.5 [LibertyAuthnContext] | |
| IDP-AUTHN-06 | Previous-Session | Section 5.1.6 [LibertyAuthnContext] | |
| IDP-AUTHN-07 | Smartcard | Section 5.1.7 [LibertyAuthnContext] | |
| IDP-AUTHN-08 | Smartcard-PKI | Section 5.1.8 [LibertyAuthnContext] | |
| IDP-AUTHN-09 | Software-PKI | Section 5.1.9 [LibertyAuthnContext] | |
| IDP-AUTHN-10 | Time-Sync-Token | Section 5.1.10 [LibertyAuthnContext] | |

144

145 **3.5 Authentication Context Requirements — Service Provider**

146

| Req ID# | Description | Ref | Y/N |
|-------------|--------------------|--|-----|
| SP-AUTHN-01 | MobileContract | Section 5.1.1 [LibertyAuthnContext] | |
| SP-AUTHN-02 | MobileDigitalID | Section 5.1.2 [LibertyAuthnContext] | |
| SP-AUTHN-03 | MobileUnregistered | Section 5.1.3 [LibertyAuthnContext] | |
| SP-AUTHN-04 | Password | Section 5.1.4 [LibertyAuthnContext] | |

| Req ID# | Description | Ref | Y/N |
|-------------|-----------------------------|---|-----|
| SP-AUTHN-05 | Password-ProtectedTransport | Section 5.1.5 [LibertyAuthnContext] | |
| SP-AUTHN-06 | Previous-Session | Section 5.1.6 [LibertyAuthnContext] | |
| SP-AUTHN-07 | Smartcard | Section 5.1.7 [LibertyAuthnContext] | |
| SP-AUTHN-08 | Smartcard-PKI | Section 5.1.8 [LibertyAuthnContext] | |
| SP-AUTHN-09 | Software-PKI | Section 5.1.9 [LibertyAuthnContext] | |
| SP-AUTHN-10 | Time-Sync-Token | Section 5.1.10 [LibertyAuthnContext] | |

147

148 **3.6 Authentication Context Requirements — LECP**

149

| Req ID# | Description | Ref | Y/N |
|---------------|-----------------------------|---|-----|
| LECP-AUTHN-01 | MobileContract | Section 5.1.1 [LibertyAuthnContext] | |
| LECP-AUTHN-02 | MobileDigitalID | Section 5.1.2 [LibertyAuthnContext] | |
| LECP-AUTHN-03 | MobileUnregistered | Section 5.1.3 [LibertyAuthnContext] | |
| LECP-AUTHN-04 | Password | Section 5.1.4 [LibertyAuthnContext] | |
| LECP-AUTHN-05 | Password-ProtectedTransport | Section 5.1.5 [LibertyAuthnContext] | |
| LECP-AUTHN-06 | Previous-Session | Section 5.1.6 [LibertyAuthnContext] | |
| LECP-AUTHN-07 | Smartcard | Section 5.1.7 [LibertyAuthnContext] | |
| LECP-AUTHN-08 | Smartcard-PKI | Section 5.1.8 [LibertyAuthnContext] | |
| LECP-AUTHN-09 | Software-PKI | Section 5.1.9 [LibertyAuthnContext] | |
| LECP-AUTHN-10 | Time-Sync-Token | Section 5.1.10 [LibertyAuthnContext] | |

150

151 **4 References**

152 [LibertyAuthnContext] Madson, P., “Liberty Authentication Assertion Context Specification.”
 153 [LibertyBindProf] Rouault, J., “Liberty Bindings and Profiles Specification.”
 154 [LibertyGloss] Ellison, G., “Liberty Glossary.”
 155 [LibertyProtSchema] Beatty, J., “Liberty Protocols and Schemas Specification.”
 156 [RFC2246] “The TLS Protocol Version 1.0,” <http://www.ietf.org/rfc/rfc2246.txt>.

- 157 [RFC2965] “HTTP State Management Mechanism,”
158 <http://www.ietf.org/rfc/rfc2965.txt>.
- 159 [SAMLBind] Mishra, Prateek, et al., “Bindings and Profiles for the OASIS Security
160 Assertion Markup Language (SAML),” [http://www.oasis-
161 open.org/committees/security/docs/draft-sstc-bindings-model-
162 10.doc](http://www.oasis-open.org/committees/security/docs/draft-sstc-bindings-model-10.doc), OASIS, 10 January 2002.
- 163 [SAMLCore] Hallam-Baker, P., et al., “Assertions and Protocol for the OASIS
164 Security Assertion Markup Language (SAML),” [http://www.oasis-
165 open.org/committees/security/docs/draft-sstc-core-21.pdf](http://www.oasis-open.org/committees/security/docs/draft-sstc-core-21.pdf), OASIS,
166 December 2001.
- 167 [SOAP1.1] Box, D., et al., “Simple Object Access Protocol (SOAP) 1.1,”
168 <http://www.w3.org/TR/SOAP>, World Wide Web Consortium Note,
169 May 2000.
- 170 [SSLv3] “The SSL Protocol Version 3.0,”
171 <http://www.mozilla.org/projects/security/pki/nss/ssl/draft302.txt>.
- 172 [WML1.3] “Wireless Application Protocol Wireless Markup Language
173 Specification Version 1.3,” Wireless Application Protocol Forum, Ltd.,
174 <http://www.wapforum.org/>, 19 February 2000.
- 175 [WTLS] “Wireless Transport Layer Security,”
176 [http://www1.wapforum.org/tech/documents/WAP-261_102-
177 WTLS-20011027-a.pdf](http://www1.wapforum.org/tech/documents/WAP-261_102-WTLS-20011027-a.pdf).
- 178 [XMLSig] Eastlake D., et al., “XML-Signature Syntax and Processing,”
179 <http://www.w3.org/TR/xmlsig-core/>, World Wide Web Consortium.