- •
- •
- •
- •
- •
- •
- •
- •

- •

ISO 15022 XML Working Group



Contents

- Background
- Interoperability Options
- ISO 15022 XML Working Group -WG10

- ISO Overview
- ISO 15022 Revealed
- SWIFT Standards Methodology
- WG10 Organization

- •
- •
- •
- •
- •
- •
- •
- •
- •
- •

Background



Standards Development

- Leveraging New Technology
- Expanding Coverage
- Focusing on Market Practice

XML and Standards

Two Variations

• Evolving Existing Standards

• FIX, SWIFT

• Creating New Standards

- Industry Consortium FpML
- Vendor based FinXML, NTM

•

Current Environment

XML Standardization Is Increasing

Why?

- Broad Vendor Support Means More Technology Choices
- Platform Independence
- Human Nature
- Vendors
 - Creating standards implies technological leadership
 - Products can be tuned to standard
- Participants lack of knowledge of existing initiatives

Overlapping Initiatives



Transactional Based XML

- Message Networks
 - SWIFT XML on Next Generation Network
- Consortia

•

- FpML OTC Derivatives
- FIXML FIX Application Messages
- Vendors
 - Sungard NTM
 - FinXML
- Utilities
 - GSTPA XML Message Format Based On ISO 15022

•

•

Document Based XML

Consortia

•

- RIXML Parsing and classifying investment research
- XBRL Preparation and exchange of business reports and data

• Vendor

IRML (Multex/Consortia) - Exchange and use of financial research content

.

.

– First Call

Interoperability/Convergence Issues

• Interoperability Isn't a Technology Issue

Middleware, XSLT

• Interoperability is a Business Issue

Requires Coordination

- XML doesn't replace standards competition
- Convergence on a single standard syntax (XML or anything else) is not realistic in the short term

• •

•

• •

- •
- •
- •
- •
- •
- •
- •

- •
- •

Interoperability Options



W3C's Solution - XSLT





Interoperability Requires Coordination



March 6th, 2001

- •
- •
- •
- •
- .
- •
- •

- •
- .
- ISO 15022 XML Working Group -WG10



ISO 15022 XML Working Group -Working Group 10

Mission Statement

Evolve ISO 15022 to permit migration of the securities industry to a standardized use of XML, guaranteeing interoperability across the industry and with other industry sectors, particularly but not restricted to the financial industry

Participants

•

• GSTPA, FIX, ISITC-IOA, SMPG, SWIFT, Thomson, FinXML, FpML, ECSDA, EMX, Telekurs, Instinet, etc.

• •

- DTCC, Euroclear, CREST, Clearstream, etc.
- SSMB, Merrill, BoNY, ING, State Street, Chase, Morgan Stanley, Deutsche Bk, Goldman, Citigroup, Northern Trust, Barclays, etc.

WG10 Timeline

Initial Meeting Reviewed existing XML initiatives Discussed Interoperability Opportunities



WG10 Process Overview



March 6th, 2001

- •
- •
- •
- •
- •
- •
- •
- •
- -
- •

ISO Overview



March 6th, 2001

• • • • • • • •

International Organization For Standardization (ISO)

- Federation of 130 member-countries
- Prepare International Standards
- 200 Technical Committees (TC)
- 600 SubCommittees (SC)
- 2,000 Working Groups (WG)
- 15,000 International Standards prepared on a voluntary basis

ISO 15022 XML Working Group -WG10



SC4 Breakdown



March 6th, 2001

•

• • • •

- •
- •
- •
- •
- •
- •
- •
- •
- •
- •

ISO 15022 Revealed



ISO 15022

•

• What exactly is the standard?

- Part 1: Data field and message design rules and guidelines
- Part 2: Maintenance of the Data Field Dictionary and Catalogue of Messages

• • •

•

•

.

• Registration Authority - SWIFT

 Maintains Data Field Dictionary/Catalogue of Messages

Registration Management Group

Monitors Registration Authority

ISO 15022

•

- Reference Table of Multiple Syntaxes
- Registry of Messages



SSAB	ISITC	7775	E7775	EDIFACT
s1		:10:	:21::	
s2	al	:11:	:22::	
	a2		:23::	
s3			:24::	

Catalog of Messages

E7775 EDIFACT	7775
540	520
541	521
542	522
543	523
548	534

Trading Settlement Instructions Settlement Status Settlement Confirmations Corporate Events Position/Movements Depositary Receipts

March 6th, 2001

FIX - ISO 15022 Comparison

FIX New Order Single

•

8=FIX.4.1

9=235

35=D

34=10

43=N

11 = 10

21 = 1

22=1

54=1

40 = 2

59 = 0

38=10000

55=EK

Begin String Body Length MsgType MsgSeqNum PossDupFlag 49=Vendor SenderCompID 16R: TRADPRTY 115=CustomerID 95R:: BUYR//CustomerID OnBehalfOfCompID 16S:: TRADPRTY TargetCompID 56=Broker 52=19980930-09:25:58 Sending Time :97A:: COMM//XQCCFUND 1=XQCCFUND Account :16R: GENL SEME//10 (Senders Reference ID) ClOrdID :20C:: :16S: GENL :16R: ORDRDET :22F:: AUTO//DDOT Handling Instructions Symbol :35J:: CUSI 277461109 48=277461109 SecurityID IDSource BUSE//BUYI Side :22H:: :36B:: ORDR//UNIT/10000, Order Quantity :16R: PRIC Order Type :90B:: LIMI//ACTU/USD76,75 :16S: PRIC 44=76.750000 Price

:22F::

:16S:

TILI//DAYA

ORDRDET

March 6th, 2001

TimeInForce

 MT 502 - Order to Buy or Sell

Evolving ISO 15022

•



- •
- •
- •

- •
- •
- •

- •
- •

SWIFT Standards Methodology: A Starting Point



Standards Modeling Process



•

Business Layer (Domain Information Model) Contains:

- Business Process
- Business Domain
- Actors



Logical Layer (Message Information Model)

Contains:

- Message Structure
- System Interaction



March 6th, 2001

Physical Layer

(Message Formats)

Contains:

• Format Transformation Rules (e.g. DTDs, Schemas)

Business Layer



- Focus on Business Not Implementation
- Describe Business Context Of Solution
- Activities
 - Identify Business Domain
 - Define Structure/Dynamics of Business Context
 - Capture Business Information within Business Context

Analogy: "Architectural Designs for Building a House Based on Client Requirements"

Business Layer -Securities Business Model







Business Layer - Actors



Business Layer -Securities Business Processes



Logical Layer



• Definition

•

- Specify the Exchange of Structured Business Data (Messages)
- Describe Abstract, Technology Neutral Solution
- Activities
 - Message Interaction Collaboration Diagrams
 - Dynamics of Solution Activity Diagrams
 - Business Scenarios Sequence Diagrams
 - Message Structure/Content Class Diagrams

Analogy: "Detailed Plans for Wiring a Building"

Logical Layer

•



Physical Layer



• Definition

•

- Set of Mapping Specifications
 from the Logical Layer to Target
 Implementation (XML, Java, etc)
- Activities
 - -Create Design Rules for Each Syntax

Analogy: "Work Plan For Actual Building Construction"

•

Physical Layer



. . . .



<!ELEMENT NoticeOfExecution

(Seller, Buyer, Involved Step In Broker?, Financial Instrument Attributes, Trade Detail_)>

<!ELEMENT Seller (%_Investor_ ; |%_BrokerDealer_;) >

- <!ELEMENT Buyer (%_Investor_; |%_BrokerDealer_;) >
- <!ENTITY %_Investor_ "Identification">

- <!ENTITY %_BrokerDealer_ "Identification,Role?")
- <!ELEMENT InvolvedStepInBroker (Identification, ProcessingReference_) >

March 6th, 2001

Physical Layer



Syntax Specific Design Rules

UML	XML instance	
Class containing attributes	Attributes become nested XML elements.	
Class having roles	Roles become nested XML elements	



DTD

<!ELEMENT NoticeOfExecution (Buyer, FinancialInstrumentAttributes)> <!ELEMENT FinancialInstrumentAttributes (Identifier)>

- •
- •
- •
- •
- •
- •
- •
- •

- •

WG10 Organization



ISO 15022 XML Working Group -WG10

Structure

Steering Committee

•

Project Teams

Design Rules

UML Business Modeling UML to XML Conversion Rules

Reverse Engineering

Reverse engineering existing message to business model

Registry/Repository

Organization of repository Procedures to register business models

ISO 15022 XML Working Group -WG10

WG10

Steering Committee

Design Rules

Reverse Engineering

Registry/Repository

"Observers"

Other interested parties



Nominated by ISO

Design Rules Project Team

•Define a Standards Development Approach

- Common, Syntax Independent Business Model (UML) ending in a specific physical representation (XML)
- Create Standards Development Rules
 - UML for business modeling
 - XML for its physical representation
- Ensure Technical and Business Interoperability

• •

•

- ebXML
- HL7

Reverse Engineering Project Team

- Provide Reverse Engineering User Guidelines
- Validate Rules Defined by Design Rules Group
 - Build Portion of Securities Business Model,
 - Generate Logical Model
 - Derive XML Outputs
- Build Initial Repository

Reverse Engineering Project Team



March 6th, 2001

Registry/Repository Project Team

- Review responsibility/SLA of the ISO 15022 Registration Authority (RA) and Registration Management Group (RMG)
- Determine input/output of future ISO 15022 Repository

•

•

- Business Models
- DTD/Schemas
- Data Elements

Repository Interoperability



WG10 Deliverables -<u>Recommend Changes to ISO 15022</u>

- Development Methodology
 - Business Models
 - Logical/Message Models
 - Rules to Derive XML Syntax
- Registry/Repository
 - Maintenance Procedures
 - RA Service Level Agreement

ISO Submission

Steps

- Circulate Draft To 16 ISO P-Member Countries
- Allow Five Months for P-Member Comments/Revisions
- Create Draft International Standard
- Circulate To ISO Member Countries
- Allow Two Months for Member Comments/Revisions
- Create Final Draft International Standard
- Circulate To ISO Member Countries
- Allow Two Months for Member Comments/Revisions

• Publish International Standard

WG10 - How to Participate

• Two Types

- Observer Register on eGroups
- Project Team Member
- Project Team Member
 - Requires ISO Sponsorship
 - Local Standards Body (U.S. ANSI-X9)
 - Liaison Organizations (ISITC-IOA, FIX Protocol?)

•

ISO 15022 XML Working Group -WG10

More Information

Main Site: http://groups.yahoo.com/group/XML_Init_Main

•

Project Teams: www.tc68.org