

AIA *e*Business and the Metadata Harmonization Project

Ron Schuldt Lockheed Martin Enterprise Information Systems Co-Chair, AIA Electronic Enterprise Working Group GEIA Workshop September, 2002





- Identify the drivers that caused Aerospace Industries Association (AIA) Executive Committee members (CEOs) to put a priority on solving e-business interoperability issues
- Describe the strategy they took
- Describe an AIA-led project that is expected to yield cost reduction opportunities for companies that take advantage of the project's recommendations



Charge from the AIA Executive Committee

At its 14 March 2001 meeting, the AIA Executive Committee agreed to establish a corporate-level steering group to coordinate the various *e*business activities currently underway at AIA and to establish clear policy defining what common *e*business practices are and how they are to be implemented

> AIA Executive Action Report 6-2001 DTD 23 March 2001

eBSG Membership



Dual representation from each member company encouraged

Business Focus (Functional VP)

Technical Focus (CIO)

AIA Member companies with *e*BSG representatives

- AAI
- BAE Systems
- Boeing
- Exostar
- Goodrich
- General Dynamics
- Honeywell
- Lockheed Martin

- MOOG
- Northrop Grumman
- Parker Aerospace
- Raytheon
- Rolls Royce
- Textron
- TRW
- United Technologies
- Vought Aircraft

Relationship to AIA Organization



EB Interoperability Framework



Industry-level interoperability enabled by common framework that defines scope and elements of the "Information Backbone"



AIA *e*business workgroups aligned with the Framework



AIA *Electronic Enterprise Working Group* (*EEWG*)

Scope:

The scope of the EEWG effort is transaction data and metadata about technical data that goes through the firewall in support of e-business.

EEWG Leadership:

Ron Schuldt, Lockheed Martin EIS, Co-Chair for 2 year term representing AIA Member companies (71 companies as of June 12, 2002). Term expires Dec 31, 2003 Angela Baker, LMI Aerospace, Co-Chair for 2 year term representing AIA Associate Member companies (134 companies as of June 12, 2002). Filling remainder of partial term – full 2 year term expires Dec 31, 2004 Bill Lewandowski, Vice President, Supplier Management, AIA Staff

Major Projects:

Harmonization of EDI (X12) transactions used within aerospace – led by Tom Warner, Boeing

Aerospace XML – conversion of the harmonized EDI transactions to XML – led by Tom Warner, Boeing

Metadata Harmonization – assigned by e-BSG – led by Ron Schuldt, Lockheed Martinger

The Integration Problem & Goal



Current Point-to-Point Approach --- n(n-1)





Future UDEF Canonical Approach --- 2n





The Interoperability Challenge



"According to Gartner Group, 35-40% of all programming effort in a typical computing environment is devoted to developing and maintaining the extract and update programs whose only purpose is to transfer information between different databases." Quote from Ernst & Young Financial Analysis of "Enterprise Application Integration – Constellar and British Power Achieving Business Benefit"

"Interoperability required the entire interfaces between applications to be standardized. Only 5% of the interface is a function of the middleware choice. The remaining 95% is a function of application semantics." Gartner Group



An Integration Cost Illustration



Total Services Spend

\$1 million

Software:

Implementation: \$3-5 million

Data integration: \$2-3.3 million

Data transformation: \$1-1.7 million If integration software costs \$1 million, implementation will cost \$3-5 million. (Gartner)

Two-thirds of the
implementation cost
involves data integration.
Data transformation
is one-third of the
implementation cost.
(AMR Research)

The Standards Problem Summarized





As result, many industries including aerospace are defining their metadata (tag name) XML standards necessary for e-business – too many standards

Small Sample of the "Other XML Standards"



- HL7 Health Care <u>http://www.hl7.org/</u>
- IFX Interactive Financial Exchange <u>http://www.ifxforum.org/</u>
- FPML Financial Products <u>http://www.fpml.org/</u>
- SWIFT Business Messages based on EDIFACT (for International Trading Partners) <u>http://www.swift.com/index.cfm</u>
- HR-XML Human Resources and Benefits
 <u>http://www.hr-xml.org/channels/home.htm</u>
- OAG ERP and Middleware Vendors http://www.openapplications.org/
- RosettaNet IT and Electronic Components Industry
 <u>http://www.rosettanet.org/rosettanet/Rooms/DisplayPages/LayoutInitial</u>
- ACORD XML for the Insurance Industry <u>http://www.acord.org/</u>
- XBRL Business Reporting Accounting <u>http://www.xbrl.org/</u>
- TranXML Transportation XML http://www.transentric.com/default2.asp

Example Overlaps



UDDI

- Universal Unique ID (UUID)
- Globally unique
- Supports many ID codes
- 128 bit hexadecimal (8 char AN)

EIA-836

- Organization ID
- Supports many ID codes
 - » CAGE, DUNS, FSCM, etc.
- ID length not specified

AIA EDI

- Originating Company ID Number
- Supports many ID codes
 - » CAGE, DUNS, FSCM, etc.
- ID length (10 char AN)



Metadata Harmonization Project Scope





Metadata Harmonization Project Summary



Description

The Metadata Harmonization Project (MHP) is defining an Aerospace Process Standard that will enable companies in the industry to reduce the costs of integrating their systems with trading partners. The MHP is **creating a data interchange matrix that was directed by the e-BSG.**

Business Problem

- Standards used within AIA overlap
- Difficult to understand what the standards contain without some form of comparison
- Cost effective interoperability depends
 on adoption of standards
- Substantial overhead dollars required to integrate heterogeneous systems

Major Milestones

- E-BSG assigned EEWG MHP Aug 2001
- Phase 1 complete Aug 2002
- E-BSG approval of Phase 2 Sept 2002
- Support from Contivo for Phase 2 Aug 2002
- Phase 2 EEWG complete Nov 2003

Dependencies

- AIA member company adoption of the MHP Process Standard
- On going maintenance of matrix is dependent on the UDEF
- Sufficient resources
- Require effective marketing of the MHP products (i.e., SMC Master Classes)

Metadata Harmonization Project Roadmap







Sample Mapping Matrix Extract

	UDEF Role or	UDEF	UDEF Type	UDEF	EIA-836			EDI (X12)	
UDEF ID	Type of Object	Object	of Property	Property	Name	Definition	Valid Values	Name	D
3_6.35.8		Enterprise	Defense Logistics Assigned	ldentifier	CAGE Code	A Commercial and Government Entity (CAGE)	5 alphanumeric character	DE 98 + DE 66/ Code M4	7
ah.3_10.35.8	Manufacturer	Enterprise	NATO Assigned	ldentifier	NSCM Code	A standard NATO supply code	string	DE 98/ Code M9 + DE 66/ Code 37	
									\supset

Phase One Summary

- Focus on four topics
 - Enterprise Identification
 - Document Identification
 - Product Identification
 - Asset Identification
- Four standards EIA-836, X12, STEP, UCC
- Goal to understand the process and the necessary resources to proceed into second phase
- Target completion August 2002

Phase Two Summary

- In planning stages
- Include additional standards such as ATA Spec 2000
- Require support from tool
- Require XMLization of the UDEF
- Require UDEF transfer to non-profit
- Require additional business process experts – especially contracting and inbound/outbound logistics
- Target completion November 2003

The UDEF Summary

AEROSPACE I ASSOCI

Summary Description

The Universal Data Element Framework (UDEF) is a rules based metadata naming convention that greatly accelerates data integration for large data integration projects. Once a data element concept has been mapped to the UDEF, the data element can then be assigned a UDEF derived intelligent unique ID.

Current Business Problem

- Point-to-Point Interfaces are the Norm
- Mappings are Time Consuming Process
- Lack Consistent Naming Convention
- Lack Standard Data Names
- System Experts Often Retained to Support Interface Development



Universal ID =



Benefits of UDEF

- Depending on complexity, the time and effort required to analyze and map any pair of systems reduces substantially (potentially by order of magnitude) as the number of systems to be integrated increases beyond three or four (break even point)
- UDEF IDs add computer sensible intelligence to the names of elements within any system – thereby reducing dependence on requiring the system expert for mapping the system to any other system
- UDEF is gaining momentum as an e-business standard adopted by AIA, EIDX, and OAG – gaining interest by UCC, CompTIA, DISA, and RosettaNet

The UDEF Naming Convention



Complies with ISO 11179 Naming Convention and Supports ebXML



Names constructed follow the rules of English – modifiers precede the word they modify

Data Element Concept per ISO 11179





Data Element Concept - definition

"A **concept** that can be represented in the form of a **data element**, described independently of any particular **representation**"

UDEF Objects – For Context





Data Element Concepts of UDEF



Any data of interest to the ENTERPRISE

E-Business Transactions	Technical Data	Process Data	Program Data
 Request for Quote Purchase Order Advance Ship Notice Invoice 	 Tradeoff Studies Specifications Designs ECPs Software 	 Engineering Manufacturing Procurement Test Maintenance Operations 	ContractsSchedulesRisk
HR - Data	Logistics Data	Finance Data	Scientific Data
 Assignments Evaluations Salary Benefits 	 Repair Transport FD/FI Inventory 	 General Ledger Accts Payable Accts Receive 	StaticsDynamicsThermal



Example "Enterprise" Object Tree



Example "Name" Property Tree





How to Map to the UDEF



- 1. Identify the applicable UDEF property word that characterizes the dominant attribute (property) of the data element concept. For example, Name, Identifier, Date, etc.
- Identify the dominant UDEF object word that the dominant property (selected in step 1) is describing. For example, Person_Name, Product_Identifier, Document_Date, etc.
- 3. By reviewing the UDEF tree for the selected property identified in step 1, identify applicable qualifiers that are necessary to unambiguously describe the property word term. For example, Last Name
- 4. By reviewing the UDEF tree for the selected object identified in step 2, identify applicable qualifiers that are necessary to unambiguously describe the object word term. For example, Customer Person
- 5. Concatenate the object term and the property term to create a UDEF naming convention compliant name where it is recognized that the name may seem artificially long. For example, Customer Person_Last Name
- 6. Derive an intelligent UID based on the UDEF taxonomy that carries the UDEF inherited indexing scheme. For example <CustomerPersonLastName GUID="as.5_5.10">

Example Mappings



CM Data Elements

document-publication-date document-data-rights-expiration-date document-sheet-total-quantity document-sheet-size-code software-product-version-identifier product-part-identifier reference-document-revision-identifier enterprise-division-address-text program-name product-quantity enterprise-address-text

Universal ID

2_5.6 2_1.2.6.6 2_1.8.11 2_1.6.4 p.9_8.8 9_5.8 aj.2_9.8 3_2.12.14 10_10 9_11 3_12.14

Additional Example Mappings



X12 & EDIFACT Data Elements

country code invoice number- assigned by issuer purchase order type code postal code location qualifier location identifier contract effective date expiry date of import license item number - product item number - service price **Universal ID**

e.7 4 bd.2 1.35.8 d.t.2 33.4 7 1.10.4 7 20.33.4 7 8.4 e.2 13.6 a.be.2 6.6 **98** f.9 8 9 2.1

Goal - UDEF IDs Become Global Unique IDs (GUIDs)



UDEF ID = ebXML UID	EIA-836	X12 (EDI)	Vendor A
9_5.8 9_9	Product Part Identifier Product Name	Product/Service ID Product/Service Name	Part No
y.3_9		Entity (Supplier) Name	Supplier
e.2_8	Contract Document Identifier	Buyer's Contract Number	Contract No
f.g.9_11	Component Product Quantity		
2_33.4	Document Type Code	Report Type Code	Doc Type

<ContractDocumentIdentifier DOC:GUID="e.2_8">123abc</ContractDocumentIdentifier> <BuyersContractNumber DOC:GUID="e.2_8">123abc</BuyersContractNumber> <ContractNo DOC:GUID="e.2_8">123abc</ContractNo>

Benefit – GUIDs eliminate the baggage associated with changing names

Benefits of the UDEF



- Based on ISO 11179 and ebXML standards
- Infinitely extensible
- UDEF IDs are language independent
- Built in indexing for all XML catalogs
 - Find entries more rapidly within large catalogs
- Enable faster alignment between disparate legacy systems even for close matches
 - Two hinge points (the object and the representation word)
- Reduce costs associated with interfacing systems within the business
- Provide foundation for standardized global XML namespace categories
 - PER:GUID Person all XML names with Person as the object
 - PRD:GUID Product all XML names with Product as the object
 - ENP:GUID Enterprise all XML names with Enterprise as the object
 - PRC:GUID Process all XML names with Process as the object
 - PLC:GUID Place all XML names with Place as the object
 - PRG:GUID Program all XML names with Program as the object
 - etc

UDEF Concept of Operation





Global UDEF Registry





AIA, EIDX and AFEI will work with .org to establish this service







Ron Schuldt – 303-977-1414 or ron.l.schuldt@lmco.com