IODEF Interoperability Report

Brian Trammell

bht@cert.org>
Wednesday, July 12, 2006
IETF 66 - Montréal, QC, Canada

Introduction

- IODEF interoperability testing held through June and July 2006.
- Three steps:
 - Test facilitator generated tests.
 - Participants submitted results.
 - Test facilitator evaluated and compiled results.
- Not a standard interop
 - IODEF is as its core a document format.
 - Semantics are important.
 - Offline testing and evaluation are possible.

The Tests

Three IODEF documents

- Designed to test features of the schema, especially corner cases.
- Results are some representation of the internal data model of the implementation after parsing.
- Variety of implementation makes evaluation an ad-hoc process.

Four textual incident reports

- Designed to verify IODEF semantics across implementations.
- Derived from real incidents.
- Results are IODEF documents.

User-contributed IODEF documents

Results Summary

Test	n	Status
Parsing	3	Succeeded
Loopback	2	Succeeded*
Validation	3	Failed (minor nits)
Generation	3	Succeeded*

IODEF Document Input Results

- All participants that attempted to do so were able to parse the supplied IODEF documents.
- Two participants submitted "loopback" results
 - parse IODEF to internal data model, then regenerate IODEF from internal data model.
- One participant reported successful parse

IODEF Document Loopback Results

- Some of the more esoteric features of the schema do not appear to be universally supported
 - Dual-stack iodef:Node instances
 - Rate counters
- Extension data inconsistently handled
 - Entity-encoding of XML AdditionalData
- Limitations of internal data model
 - One implementation discarded most Contact information and other incident context.

Document Generation Results

- Three participants submitted IODEF documents
 - Two used the incident reports supplied with the interoperability test package
- None of these documents passed xmllint validation against -070
 - Missing IODEF-Document version
 - Element content ordering
 - Timezone class representation
 - ISO8601 date formatting

Document Generation Results (2)

- Contact information represented inconsistently
 - No generation of RFC 2252 compliant postal addresses
 - Private storage of semantically richer geographic information
- Use of Description for private data
 - Should there be support for AdditionalData on other first-class objects such as Contact and System?

Document Generation Results (3)

- In general, good use of recursion where available and applicable.
- Confusion about representing manysource, many-target attacks with iodef:Flow
 - Use cross-product? Use one large Flow instance?

Recommendations

- Will continue maintenance of interoperability test document repository.
 - Simply submit your IODEF output to <u>interop-ietf66@iodef.org</u> to place it in the repository.
- Implementers should use XML Schema validators to test their own output.
 - Test coordinator used xmllint.

Next Steps

- Implementer comments and discussion: now
- Final interoperability report: end of July
 - Additional results accepted after meeting
 - Comments from Montréal