

# **Making Privacy Operational**

## Introduction to the Privacy Management Reference Model



Director, Global Government relations CA, Inc. and President, ISTPA john.t.sabo@ca.com

#### **Michael Willett**

President, WillettWorks Technology and Board member, ISTPA <u>mwillett@nc.rr.com</u>





**John Sabo** is Director, Global Government Relations for CA, Inc., serves as an industry expert in the use of security and privacy technologies in trusted infrastructures.

He is as an appointed member of the Department of Homeland Security's Data Privacy and Integrity Advisory Committee, and is a past member of National Institute of Standards and Technology Information Security and Privacy Advisory Board (ISPAB). He is a board member and President of the non-profit International Security Trust and Privacy Alliance (ISTPA) and is a member of the OASIS IDtrust Member Section Steering Committee.

John is active in information sharing, cyber-security and critical infrastructure protection committees and organizations. He is a board member and past President of the Information Technology-Information Sharing and Analysis Center (IT-ISAC); member of the IT-Sector Coordinating Council; and Immediate Past Chair of the ISAC Council.





**Dr. Michael Willett** received his BS degree from the US Air Force Academy (Top Secret clearance) and his Masters and PhD in mathematics from NC State University. After a career as a university professor of mathematics and computer science, Dr. Willett joined IBM as a design architect, moving into IBM's Cryptography Competency Center. Later, Dr. Willett joined Fiderus, a security and privacy consulting practice, subsequently accepting a position with Wave Systems. Recently, Dr. Willett was a Senior Director in Seagate Research, focusing on security functionality on hard drives, including self-encryption, related standardization, product rollout, patent development, and partner liaison. Currently, Dr. Willett provides consultation for marketing storage-based security. Dr. Willett also chairs the Privacy Management Reference Model Project of the ISTPA, which developed an operational reference model for implementing privacy requirements.



# **Webinar Objectives**

- Introduce the Privacy Management Reference Model (PMRM) and proposed OASIS Technical Committee
- Solicit companies, agencies and individuals as "proposers" to establish the PMRM TC
  - Both current OASIS members and new members
  - Foster interest in use-case development
- Start a discussion group to generate interest, questions and prepare for the new TC



# Why Do We Need a Privacy Management Reference Model?

**John Sabo** 

### First - What is Data Privacy? -expressed as Principles/Practices

- Accountability
- Notice
- Consent
- Collection Limitation
- Use Limitation
- Disclosure
- Access & Correction
- Security/Safeguards

- Data Quality
- Enforcement
- Openness

Anonymity
Data Flow
Sensitivity

## **Global Privacy Principles/Practices** - similarities...but no Standardization

#### OECD Guidelines – 1980

- Collection
   Limitation
- Data Quality
- Purpose Specification
- Use Limitation
- Security Safeguards
- Openness
- Individual
   Participation
- Accountability

from ISTPA "Analysis of Privacy Principles: An Operational Study" (2007)

#### Australian Privacy Principles – 2001

- Collection
- Use and Disclosure
- Data Quality
- Data Security
- Openness
- Access and Correction
- Identifiers
- Anonymity
- Trans-border Data Flows
- Sensitive Information

#### APEC Privacy Framework – 2005

- Preventing Harm
- Notice
- Collection Limitation
- Uses of Personal Information
- Choice
- Integrity of Personal Information
- Security Safeguard
- Access and Correction

.....

Accountability



# And yet...Critical Privacy Drivers and Issues

#### • Networks and the PI Lifecycle

- Digitally-based personal information is networked and boundless
- Principles/Legislation/Policies
  - Security and Privacy Integration expected
  - Compliance and increased international attention from regulators

#### Operational privacy management standards

- Technical standards and architectures for privacy management not yet available
- Relentless Adoption of New Business Models and Infrastructures
  - Social networking
  - Ubiquitous networked devices
  - E-Government
  - Cloud Computing
  - Health IT
  - Smart Grid



# **Privacy Management Challenges:**

# **Cloud Computing**

## World Economic Forum 2009 Study on Cloud Computing..Deployment

# Economic Benefits

- Entrepreneurship; create new businesses, jobs
- Platform for innovation; accelerate innovation
- Increase IT efficiency and IT flexibility
- Business/technology leapfrogging opportunities in developing countries

- But...Major Barriers
  - Privacy (63%)
  - Data governance (e.g. data ownership, crossborder data transfer, etc. (56%)
  - Security (50%)



# **Privacy Management Challenges:**

# the emerging networked Health IT environment



Health Information Exchange Functional and Roles Diagram



# Privacy Management Challenges:

# the new Smart Grid



## **Smart Grid – Sample Components with Privacy Implications**

- Digital information and controls technology
- Dynamic optimization of grid operations and resources with cybersecurity
- Deployment of `smart' technologies that optimize the physical operation of appliances and consumer devices
  - for metering, communications concerning grid operations and status, and distribution automation
- Integration of `smart' appliances and consumer devices
- Provision to consumers of timely information and control options
- **Two-way communications**
- See <u>www.nist.gov/smartgrid</u>

# **OASIS M NIST Smart Grid Conceptual Model**



Source: 27 NIST Framework and Roadmap for Smart Grid Interoperability Standards, Release 1.0

# Addressing Lifecycle Privacy Management ISTPA

The International Security, Trust and Privacy Alliance (ISTPA), founded in 1999, is a global alliance of companies, institutions and technology providers working together to clarify and resolve existing and evolving issues related to security, trust, and privacy

ISTPA's focus is on the protection and management of personal information (PI) – <u>www.istpa.org</u>

# ISTPA



# **ISTPA's Perspective on Privacy**

### Operational, Technical, Architectural Focus

- Based on legal, policy and business process/control drivers
- Privacy management with support for lifecycle requirements
- "Reference Model" for system designers
- "Analysis of Privacy Principles: An Operational Study" (2007)
   14 Composite Principles

### Privacy Management Reference Model V2.0 (2009)

- Major revision of Privacy Framework v1.1 (2002)
- Supports the full "lifecycle" of Personal Information
- Converts privacy requirements to operational Services

### **Managing Networked-Interactive Data Flows**



### **PI Life Cycle Perspective**

# Challenge:

# Making the New Reference Model PI and Policy–Centric









### "PI" as Objects - Policies as Objects...





## ... Managed in Networked "Lifecycle" Context





### ...with integrated Security Services



### ...only a standards-based, structured model will enable us to implement, manage and ensure compliance with privacy policies in existing and emerging infrastructures

...such as this smart grid logical architecture...

#### Unified Logical Architecture for the Smart Grid



Source: NIST Draft NISTIR 7628 February 2010



# **Toward Operational** *Privacy Management*

# **Michael Willett**



# **Privacy Standardization**

• W3C - P3P 1.1 Platform for Privacy Preferences

Grammar for expressing privacy preferences

• CEN/ISSS Data Protection and Privacy Workshop 2008-2009 Work Programme

Best practices management system guide; privacy audit tools

• OASIS Cross-Enterprise Security and Privacy Authorization (XSPA) Technical Committee

Exchange privacy policies, consent directives, and authorizations within/between healthcare organizations



# What else is missing? ANSWER: A LOT!

- Operational view: information privacy is the assured, proper, and consistent collection, processing, communication, use and disposition of personal information (PI) throughout its life cycle
  - consistent with data protection principles, policy requirements, and the preferences of the individual

### Proper and consistent apply throughout the PI life cycle

• apply to all actors, systems, and networks that "touch" the information

# Need an abstract model enabling full life cycle privacy management



### "Framework" (2002) to "Reference Model" (2009)

- From a policy perspective, ISTPA received pushback on use of the term "framework" in 2002 document
- Framework v1.1 services were validated, but lacked reference to networked, asynchronous lifecycle
- Need to support use cases where PI is disassociated from the data collector and the individual's control
  - Information life cycle beyond the collector
  - Policy changes in the future
- Improved understanding of Service-to-Service relationships; formalized syntax for modeling/simulation
- Tested against composite privacy principles derived globally

### **Privacy Management Reference Model Services**

### Core Policy Services

- Agreement- agreements, options, permissions
- Control policies data management

### Presentation and Lifecycle Services

- Interaction manages data/preferences/notice
- Agent software that carries out processes
- Usage data use, aggregation, anonymization
- Access individual review/updates to PI
- Privacy Assurance Services
  - Certification credentials, trusted processes
  - Audit independent, verifiable accountability
  - Validation checks accuracy of PI
  - Enforcement including redress for violations



# **Privacy Reference Model**



# **OASIS** Making Privacy Operational

### PI Touch Point



# OASIS Privacy SERVICES

Any two touch points in the PI life cycle



# **Syntax for each Service: Functions**

- **DEFINE [SVC]** operational requirements
- **SELECT [SVC]** (input, process, and output) data and parameters
- INPUT [SVC] data and parameter values in accordance with Select
- **PROCESS [SVC]** data and parameter values within Functions
- **OUTPUT [SVC]** data, parameter values, and actions
- LINK [SVC] to other (named) Services
- **SECURE [SVC]** with the appropriate security functions

### • Each USE CASE invokes a sequence of Service "calls"

### •Each Service call executes a sequence of Functions (drawn from these seven Functions)





# Agreement

The Agreement Service provides information to individuals regarding what PI is collected, for what purposes it will be used, other policies and options associated with the collection and use, and can result in consent, denial or an agreement among the parties. The Agreement Service also enables any set of parties (individuals, processing entities) to define agreements related to policies, use and disposition associated with the PI at points throughout the PI lifecycle.

# Control

The Control Service encompasses the functions that work together to ensure that PI governed by fair information practices/principles is managed in accordance with prescribed privacy policies and controls. These functions are established, maintained and manipulated by a processing entity.



# **Example: Agreement Functions**

#### **DEFINE Agreement requirements**

Establish objectives and scope for the Agreement service

**SELECT Agreement parameters** for Input, Process, and Output

INPUT Agreement of PI definition INPUT Agreement for additional permissions

Exchange initial parameters related to a potential agreement between parties

PROCESS Agreement parameters PROCESS Agreement exchange PROCESS Agreement interchange



## ... Example: Agreement Functions

#### **OUTPUT Agreement results**

Output the consent, denial or an agreement among the parties

#### LINK Agreement to another Service

Connect Agreement with another Service and pass outputs and other parameters between

Agreement and that Service, as appropriate

#### **SECURE Agreement**

Invoke security controls in support of Agreement functions, as appropriate



# (Another) Example: Control Functions

#### **DEFINE Control requirements**

Establish objectives/scope; document rules and standards

**SELECT Control parameters** for Input, Process, and Output

INPUT Control association to PI usage INPUT Control rules from Policy

> PROCESS Control configuration PROCESS Control management PROCESS Control of PI input/output



# ... Example: Control Functions

OUTPUT Control interaction with internal parties or systems OUTPUT Control interaction with external parties or systems

#### LINK Control to another Service

Connect Control with another Service and pass outputs and other parameters between

Control and that Service, as appropriate

#### **SECURE Control**

Invoke security controls in support of Control functions, as appropriate



# **Where Does the Reference Model Fit?**



Simple Use Case

Employer application like Payroll that requests certain PI from an employee...



*Employer (Requestor) AGENT and INTERACTION: a NOTICE of the purpose/use of requested PI is presented to the SUBJECT. The PI, together with the permissible purpose/use, is submitted for VALIDATION, then stored in the PI database by CONTROL and transferred to REQUESTOR.* 





# **Next Steps**

- Proposing an OASIS Privacy Management Reference Model (PMRM) Technical Committee
  - Soliciting initial "proposers" (e.g., ISTPA, NIST, ABA, CA, others)
  - Drafting the TC Charter
  - Planning the first TC meeting (probably in Washington, DC)
- Looking for partners to host workshops to test the Reference Model against use cases and privacy scenarios
- TC Objectives: Formally develop the PMRM, define functions, develop relevant use cases, identify business process and technical mechanisms to support the PMRM and establish the PMRM as an industry standard
- Smart Grid Coordination with OASIS Blue Member Section



# **Questions?**

### John Sabo john.t.sabo@ca.com

### Michael Willett mwillett@nc.rr.com

### Dee Schur (OASIS) dee.schur@oasis-open.org

PMRM available at <u>www.istpa.org</u>