

11:08 AM EDT – roll call done and meeting achieved quorum.

Attendance: <http://www.oasis-open.org/apps/org/workgroup/kmip/members/attendance.php> as well as on the calendar event itself.

Agenda

1. Opening remarks/roll call
2. Approval of the agenda
3. Approval of previous meeting minutes
4. Review of action items (list from web site)
5. Old Business
 - a. Key Naming for Media Interchange [Feather]
 - b. Application Specific IDs [Kipp/Pawlitzek]
 - c. P1619.3 / KMIP mapping (Lockhart)
 - d. liaison to ANSI X9 (Griffin)
 - e. Moving KMIP to Public Review [Nadalin/Griffin]
 - f. KMIP document organization (Ball)
6. New Business
7. Review New Action Items
8. Adjournment

Walt Hubis moves that we accept the agenda – hearing no objections, agenda is approved.

Previous minutes – any corrections? – Bruce Rich IBM moves that we approve minutes, hearing no objections they are accepted.

Review of action items

#5 – Bob L moves that we recommend Todd Arnold as the liaison from ANSI X9F into KMIP, Markus seconds – unanimous approval

#7 – still need to keep it open

#8 – still need to keep it open

#11 – Any more discussion about .3 to KMIP mapping? Matt Ball requests a conference call with OASIS legal, Dee will facilitate this.

#14 – open

#16 – can be closed once liaison list has been sent out.

#17 – editorial changes need not be sent around for a vote. RH to send a summary of such changes. Any other changes apart from the stuff that Elaine Barker is working on? (Elaine's ETA for getting the changes to RH is next week) Bob G to send another note out reminding folks to submit any of their changes by next week.

#18 – deferred until next week

#19 – circulated an initial version and a formal proposal around the F2F – IDTrust is scheduling a fall security event and the title of the event is "ID management transparent governance" – September 28th and 29th. Dee proposes that we meet the KMIP TC meets at that time. Any comments /preferences one way vs the other? Bob G to send an updated proposal to coincide with the IDTrust conference.

#20 – Keep that open for the moment

#21 – keep that open until we fully vent the proposal that Walt has sent out.

Old Business

Key Naming: Stan: refined the proposal that went into T10 and it is better aligned with KMIP. Language that will be going into the KMIP user guide will be ready by next week.

Application specific IDs: Rene : uploaded the second version of the proposal with input from Stan. The group should review the proposal as submitted and bring any comments by next week so that we can send it out for a vote.

KMIP mapping: already discussed

ANSI X9F – done, need to circulate the full list of liaisons to KMIP TC and OASIS management

Moving KMIP to public review: continue to have deadline of July 2nd for proposals

Doc organization –vote is still underway.

New Business:

- Version for Server Information response (RodW) – Client queries the server for server/profile/conformance information. Bob G suggests we tee off this conversation using Walt’s proposal template. Bruce Rich: Consistency between mandatory/optional objects & operations is required – i.e. a mandatory operation cannot rely on an object that is marked as optional?
AI: Bruce Rich: Come up with additional conformance distinctions/criteria/requirements. Will claims of conformance be independently audited? Tabled for this version of the spec but Bob G is open to any proposals – Gordon Arnold from IBM will submit one
AI: Gordon Arnold from IBM to come up with a proposal on how to independently audit compliance/conformance to the KMIP specification
- Naming and format for Base Objects (RodW) – ongoing reflector review
- KMIP encoding for HTTPS (AlanF) – spec talks about hTTPs authentication but no detail around how messages should not be sent over HTTP. We should say something around the HTTP options we need to support. **AI:** Alan F & Mathias to come up with a proposal on KMIP encoding for HTTPS.
- Mutating attributes (AlanF) – Server has to either accept all the client values or reject it but cannot change/mutate these values. There can be some attributes that can be changed, but that list should be small. Lets continue with the discussion on the reflector.
- Financial services issues (ToddA); key types, symmetric key pairs, hardware-protected attributed **AI:** write them up as issues and continue discussion of these issues on the reflector.
- Client information (ChrisD) – continue discussion on the reflector
- Get Attributes tag (AlanF) – continue discussion on the reflector
- Repeated fields (RodW) – continue discussion on the reflector

Rod moves we adjourn – meeting adjourned