Implementing the IODEF at the CERT/CC

Roman Danyliw

<rdd@cert.org>

INCH – IETF 55

Incident Reporting Forms

- CERT Coordination Center (CERT/CC)
- Federal Computer Incident Response Capability (FedCIRC)
- National Infrastructure Protection Center (NIPC)
- Defence Information System Agency (DISA)

Contact Information is too hard

- There are 3 to contact classes, each represents a subset of the same information
 - Organization **class**
 - Contact class
 - IRTContact class
- Propose: a unified class to represent contact information

Incomplete Contact Representation

- Need additional information for contacts:
 - Point of Contact,
 - title,
 - phone,
 - email,
 - fax,
 - country,
 - timezone
- Propose: adding this data to the Contact classes

Using Extensions

- All data cannot be represented in IODEF
 - Extend schema using Additional Data class
- Human readability of Additional Data diminishes quickly after a few elements

- Propose: "Schema Locality"
 - Add Additional Data to certain top-level IODEF container classes

Action Annotation not Machine-readable

- Difficult to quickly (and in a machine readable way) to separate the elements from the History class
 - Who was contacted?
 - What actions were taken?

• *Propose*: Separating the "communication log" in the History class to another class

Incomplete Impact Assessment

- Quantifying Cost and Time of recovery
 - Recovery time (staff hours)
 - Recovery time (wall-clock)
 - Cost
 - Number of customer affected
- Operational Impact
 - Did the attack disrupt core services?
 - Has the attack stopped?
- Propose: Expanding the flexibility of the Impact class

7

Attack Tools Represenation

- Difficult to represent information about the attack tool or technique used
 - Source.Program class
 - Method class
- Propose: Expanding the flexibility of the Method class

Complex Incident Representation

 Need resolution to Attacker/Source and Victim/Target class relationships

http://listserv.surfnet.nl/scripts/wa.exe?A2=ind02&L =inch&O=D&P=6599