

Summary of Public Comments on CMIS 1.0 and TC's Responses 23 December 2009 (close of 60-day Public Review) – 15 February 2010

Note: No comment was received during the 15-day Public Review (28 January 2010 to 12 February 2010) that pertains to the review scope.

Public Comment		TC Response/Disposition	
Name, Date, Link	Summary	Name, Date, Link	Summary
Deepa Shinde 16 Jan 2010 Question - SharePoint & JSR 286 portlet interoperability	Can CMIS consume JSR 286 portlets in SharePoint?	Ethan Gur-esh 18 Jan 2010 RE: Question - SharePoint & JSR 286 portlet interoperability	CMIS does not affect JSR 286. They operate at different levels.
Raphaël Jean (TC member) 16 Jan 2010 Property ID uniqueness	Propose uniqueness scope of Property ID to be within a single type hierarchy only	(Processed within TC) Al Brown 18 Jan 2010 Re: [cmis-comment] Property ID uniqueness	Use localName and localNamespace instead of property id to indicate semantic equality
Jeff Potts 25 Jan 2010 cmis:property*Definition element name	Propose changing property definition element name	Al Brown 25 Jan 2010 Re: [cmis-comment] cmis:property*Definition element name	Defer to CMIS v2.0
Walter Purvis 26 Jan 2010 confusion about property definitions	Confused by properties with Required=FALSE yet "MUST be set"	(See 27 Jan 2010 response to Gregor Joeris)	(See response to Gregor Joeris)
Gregor Joeris 26 Jan 2010 [cmis-comment] on property definitions / query object resolving /and others	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Required property vs MUST be set – confusing • Typo? • How is object info returned for query that does not produce a list of objects? • Does the AtomPub binding support only a subset of CMIS functions? 	Al Brown 27 Jan 2010 Re: [cmis-comment] on property definitions / query object resolving / andothers	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • For a system property, value must be set by repository and app is not required to set it. Will clarify in the spec. • Will fix. • Spec says object info cannot be requested for such queries. • The goal is to support all. A few discrepancies from the domain model are listed in the spec.

<p>Alexey Zavizionov 27 Jan 2010 Where is a description for the list of actions?</p>	<p>Where can one find the issues mentioned in meeting minutes?</p>	<p>Al Brown 28 Jan 2010 Re: [cmis-comment] Where is a description for the list of actions?</p>	<p>Provided URL for the CMIS project in JIRA</p>
<p>Alexey Zavizionov 28 Jan 2010 CMIS exceptions proposals</p>	<p>Propose a new exception.</p>	<p>David Choy 3 Feb 2010 RE: [cmis-comment] CMIS exceptions proposals</p>	<p>Defer to CMIS v2.0</p>
<p>David Churchland 4 Feb 2010 how to manage hierarchial documents</p>	<p>Should a CMIS implementation use relationships to represent compound document?</p>	<p>David Choy 5 Feb 2010 RE: [cmis-comment] how to manage hierarchial documents</p>	<p>Compound document is outside the scope of 1.0. An implementation may use relationships to represent it.</p>
<p>Van Belle Jonathan 11 Feb 2010 getObjectById not describe in the services part</p>	<p>The getObjectById service in 3.6.1.1 is not in “2.2.4 Object Services”.</p>	<p>David Choy 15 Feb 2010 http://lists.oasis-open.org/archives/cmis-comment/201002/msg00005.html</p>	<p>“Get object by id” is a URI template that supports the getObject service in 2.2.4.</p>
<p>Alexey Zavizionov 11 Feb 2010 xCMIS</p>	<p>Vendor announcement</p>	<p>(N/A)</p>	<p>(N/A)</p>