

**Summary of Public Comments and TC's Responses
for CMIS Public Review, October 23rd, 2009 – December 22nd, 2009**

Public Comment		TC Response/Disposition	
Name, Date, Link	Summary	Name, Date, Link	Summary
Florian Müller (on behalf of TC) Oct 25, 2009 Introduction Erratum	Erratum for Public Review Draft: Correct text for the Introduction section	<i>(Not applicable)</i>	
Robin Cover Nov 5, 2009 HREF values in Link Relation URIs, etc	HREF attribute values in AtomPub Link Relation URIs differ from anchor element content	Al Brown and Robin Cover Nov 6, 2009 Re: [cmis-comment] HREF values in Link Relation URIs, etc	Handle link relation URIs as “non-info” resources, utilizing namespace to rewrite URI. The root URI for the collection of CMIS link relation identifiers is http://docs.oasis-open.org/ns/cmisis/link/200908/ . Each link relation matching a URI is a name in the hierarchical space below /ns/cmisis/link/200908/ .
Dennis Nkangi Nov 20, 2009 Question about CMIS Atom collectionType Extension	Is <code>cmisra:collectionType</code> an element or an attribute?	Al Brown Dec 23, 2009 Re: [cmis-comment] Question about CMIS Atom collectionType Extension	Is an element. (Will be clarified in the spec)
Dennis Nkangi Nov 20, 2009 Question on CMIS AtomPub Namespace Prefixes	Must an implementation use the exact prefixes as specified in section 3.1.1?	Al Brown Dec 23, 2009 Re: [cmis-comment] Question on CMIS AtomPub Namespace Prefixes	The prefixes <code>cmis:</code> and <code>cmisra:</code> are for readability. All XML namespaces with an appropriate <code>xmlns:</code> are valid.
Alexey Zavizionov Nov 25, 2009 To rename cmisra:numItems	Propose to rename “ <code>cmisra:numItems</code> ” to “ <code>cmisra:totalItems</code> ”	David Choy Dec 23, 2009 RE: [cmis-comment] To rename cmisra:numItems	Leave “ <code>cmisra:numItems</code> ” unchanged.

<p>Paul Raby Dec 7, 2009 Request for Re-Incorporation of CMIS 203 into 1.0 Specification</p>	<p>Request to re-incorporate URI properties that were removed by CMIS-203</p>	<p>David Choy Dec 23, 2009 RE: [cmis-comment] Request for Re-Incorporation of CMIS 203 into 1.0 Specification</p>	<p>Defer to CMIS v2.0</p>
<p>Jeff Potts Dec 11, 2009 Better exception mapping</p>	<p>Request more explicit status code/status message mapping for Common Exceptions</p>	<p>David Choy Dec 23, 2009 RE: [cmis-comment] Better exception mapping</p>	<p>Defer to CMIS v2.0</p>